



**Meeting Date: March 15, 2021**

## **TOWN OF MORAGA**

## STAFF REPORT

**To:** Members of the Park & Recreation Commission  
**From:** Mark Summers, Associate Civil Engineer  
**Subject:** Receive Update on Laguna Creek Restoration Project (CIP 16-201) and Provide Feedback to Staff

## Introduction

The primary purpose of this agenda item is to provide an opportunity for the Park & Recreation Commission to receive an informational presentation on the Laguna Creek Restoration Project (Project) and to provide feedback to staff.

The proposed Laguna Creek Restoration Project is located at the Town-owned Hacienda de las Flores property (Hacienda) at 2100 Donald Drive. The Project consists of removing an underground culvert near the Pavilion building and restoring a natural channel in its place in order to provide improved flood protection for the Pavilion and other adjacent facilities at the Hacienda.

## Background

The contributing watershed at this location is about two square miles and includes the neighborhoods of Campolindo, Carol Ranch, and Rheem Valley, among others. Laguna Creek generally flows southward, variably within large underground storm drain pipes or in open channel creeks, eventually discharging into the Upper San Leandro Reservoir.

Upstream of the culvert at the Hacienda, Laguna Creek flows as an open channel creek where it is joined by a tributary creek from Donald Drive. Downstream of this confluence, Laguna Creek flows into an 8-foot diameter corrugated metal culvert (to be removed) near the Pavilion. After traveling through the 240-foot long culvert, the creek “daylights” into an open channeled creek again for about 100 feet before entering a 12-foot by 14-foot rectangular culvert that flows beneath Devin Drive.

The 8-foot diameter culvert has been subject to flooding over the years as it is not adequately sized to handle large peak flows. For example, during the 2005-2006 winter storms, heavy rains caused Laguna Creek to rise and flow over the banks in the vicinity of the Pavilion. This resulted in significant damage to the Pavilion building itself, as well

1 as damage to the wooden footbridge and walkways, a wrought iron gate, more than 200  
2 feet of chain link fence, and the retaining walls, headwalls, wing walls, and banks were  
3 washed out and damaged.

4  
5 On December 5, 2012, the Town entered into a consultant services agreement with  
6 WRECO to evaluate alternatives to protect the Hacienda facilities against flood risk from  
7 a 100-year flood event. WRECO provided engineering services to assess the existing  
8 conditions of Laguna Creek within the Hacienda property and recommendations to protect  
9 the Pavilion and adjacent facilities.

10  
11 On April 23, 2014, the Council received a Hydraulic Study and Alternatives Analysis  
12 (Attachment A) and presentation (Attachment B) from WRECO outlining ten alternatives  
13 that were studied to relieve flooding at the Pavilion. The alternatives were:

14

- 15 1. No build
- 16 2. Line the inside of the existing culvert with a smooth lining
- 17 3. Construct a parallel 9-foot diameter reinforced concrete pipe culvert
- 18 4. Construct a 9-foot diameter reinforced concrete pipe culvert and relocate the  
19 existing sewer main
- 20 5. Replace the existing culvert with a larger 14-ft by 12-ft reinforced concrete box  
21 (RCB) culvert
- 22 6. Install an upstream detention basin
- 23 7. Raise the Pavilion floor elevation above 100-year flood elevation
- 24 8. Relocate the entire Pavilion structure outside of the 100-year floodplain
- 25 9. Construct a flood wall around the Pavilion
- 26 10. Daylight and restore Laguna Creek to contain the 100-year flow within the  
27 banks by removing the existing culvert

28  
29 Only two of the alternatives (Alternatives 5 and 10) adequately improved the channel  
30 capacity to convey the 100-year flow of Laguna Creek and provided flood protection to  
31 the Pavilion during the 100-year storm event. WRECO determined that restoring the  
32 natural channel (Alternative 10) would have a lower cost than the box culvert (Alternative  
33 5). Additionally, they determined Alternative ten may be eligible for grant funding as a  
34 channel restoration project. Alternative 10 – daylighting and restoring Laguna Creek –  
35 was therefore recommended to Council.

36  
37 Council adopted Resolution 34-2014 to accept the Hydraulic Study and chose the  
38 recommendation to restore the natural channel (Alternative 10) based on the study and  
39 the presentation. Council directed staff to prepare the recommended natural channel  
40 restoration project documentation to be “shovel ready” and to pursue grant funding for the  
41 Project.

42  
43 Preferred Alternative

44 The Council-preferred Creek Daylighting project generally entails: removing the existing  
45 8-foot diameter pipe; removing the existing inlet headwall; and restoring an open channel  
46 that mimics a natural stream. The project would require relocating an existing sewer main  
47 and installing a natural-bottom arch culvert bridge in order to maintain connectivity to the  
48 existing Moraga Road entrance. The removal of the culvert and creation of the channel

1 is intended to provide sufficient capacity to convey the 100-year storm event, prevent  
2 flooding to the Pavilion building, provide a natural amenity to the public, and restore  
3 aquatic and riparian habitat.

4

5 **Hydrology**

6 As discussed in the 2014 WRECO Hydraulic Study, there are two sources of peak flow  
7 data: 1) FEMA; and 2) Contra Costa County Flood Control District (CCCFD). The FEMA  
8 peak flow data (last revised in March 2017) are included in the Contra Costa County Flood  
9 Insurance Study and were calculated based on approximate methods using data from  
10 nearby watersheds. The CCCFD peak flow data (see Attachment C) were calculated in  
11 1992 and assumed “full buildout of the Town.” This means they assumed 100 percent  
12 development per the General Plan that was in effect in 1992 and are therefore much  
13 higher than the FEMA peak flow rates as shown below:

| Recurrence Interval | FEMA      | CCCFD     |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 10-year Peak Flow   | 660 CFS   | 1,100 CFS |
| 50-year Peak Flow   | 1,100 CFS | 1,560 CFS |
| 100-year Peak Flow  | 1,300 CFS | 1,720 CFS |

15 Since 1992 the Moraga Open Space Ordinance (MOSO) has limited development in  
16 certain areas. For these reasons, staff believes that the 1992 CCCFD data may reflect  
17 overly conservative peak flow rates. As part of the project, a hydraulic study is being  
18 conducted to determine the appropriate design criteria.

19

20 **Grant Funding Sources**

21 Town Council provided direction to staff to pursue grant funding. Over the years, staff  
22 applied for multiple grants. The Town was successful in being awarded three Project  
23 grants<sup>1</sup>:

24

25

26 1. California Natural Resources Agency River Parkways \$399,980  
27 2. East Bay Regional Parks District Measure WW Urban Creeks \$599,743  
28 3. FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program \$803,331

29

30 The California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA) River Parkways grant was prepared  
31 by WRECO, submitted in September 2015, and awarded in June 2018. This grant expires  
32 on May 1, 2025.

33

34 The East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD) Measure WW Urban Creeks grant was  
35 prepared by staff, submitted in February 2018, and awarded in May 2018. This grant  
36 expires on December 31, 2025.

37

38 The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Hazard Mitigation Grant Program  
39 grant was prepared by WRECO, submitted in November 2017, and awarded in March  
40 2020. The award was based on an estimated Project cost of \$1.2 million, with FEMA to  
41 cover 66 percent of the total, or \$803,331.

42

43 FEMA releases funding in phases: Phase 1 – Preliminary Engineering; Phase 2 – Final

---

<sup>1</sup> Grant Application packages are available for inspection upon request.

1 Engineering; and Phase 3 - Construction. The Town must complete each phase  
2 successfully before funds are released for the next phase.

3  
4 FEMA has approved the Town to proceed with Phase 1, which includes the following  
5 tasks:

6

- 7 a. Project Management
- 8 b. Field Investigation and Survey
- 9 c. Hydraulic Study
- 10 d. Biological Resources Study
- 11 e. Environmental and technical studies (including preparation of CEQA  
12 documentation)
- 13 f. Sixty-five percent complete *Plans, Specifications, and Estimates* (PS&E)

14

15 *Engineering Design Process*

16 A *Request for Qualifications and Proposal* (RFP/Q) was advertised on July 7, 2020, for  
17 the Phase 1 preliminary engineering tasks described above.

18  
19 On November 2, 2020, Council awarded a contract to BKF Engineers (BKF) for \$192K.  
20 BKF is a full-service consulting engineering firm located in Walnut Creek, specializing in  
21 providing a wide range of technical services related to the Laguna Creek Restoration  
22 Project. BKF has successfully performed on past projects with the Town.

23  
24 In addition to the Phase 1 tasks described above, BKF's contract includes: preparing 15  
25 percent design documents and presenting them at a public meeting<sup>2</sup> to elicit feedback  
26 from the community; and providing updates to Council at the 15 percent, 30 percent and  
27 65 percent progress designs.

28  
29 At the completion of Phase 1, FEMA will determine whether to fund additional phases of  
30 work. Should FEMA agree to fund Phase 2, the Town Council can evaluate the project's  
31 merits in order to determine whether to continue with Phase 2. If Council decides to  
32 proceed with Phase 2, a design services contract amendment would be issued to BKF to  
33 complete the design and to prepare construction documentation.

34  
35 BKF's total negotiated proposal price is \$354,300, consisting of \$192,000 for Phase 1  
36 and \$162,300 for Phase 2. The FEMA Phase 2 project scope will include the following  
37 tasks:

38

- 39 a) Obtain necessary environmental permits
- 40 b) Coordinate with Utility Agencies for any needed relocation work
- 41 c) Complete 100 percent complete *Plans, Specifications, and Estimates*
- 42 d) Provide technical support during Bidding
- 43 e) Provide technical support during Construction

---

<sup>2</sup> The public meeting is scheduled for February 23, 2021 at 6:00 PM. More information can be found at  
<https://www.moraga.ca.us/465/Laguna-Creek-Restoration-Project>

1    **Discussion**

2  
3    BKF has prepared an initial 15 percent engineering design, and the Town is seeking  
4    public input and feedback on the project. A number of Laguna Creek Restoration Project  
5    informational presentation meetings via ZOOM have been scheduled, starting with a  
6    public meeting on February 23, 2021, followed by a presentation to the Planning  
7    Commission on March 2, 2021, and a presentation to the Park & Recreation Commission  
8    on March 15, 2021.

9

10   On March 24, 2021, staff will provide a presentation to Town Council, summarize the  
11   feedback received from the meetings described above, and seek Town Council's  
12   direction. For additional information on the project and presentation schedules, please  
13   see the project web page at <https://www.moraga.ca.us/lagunacreek>.

14

15   **Request**

16

17   Receive the presentation and provide feedback to staff.