



Segment Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			

*don't over see
 the out look far
 the roads - some left turn
 the road as is. put side
 keep roads in where you can
 walk in where you can
 clean up both sides of roadways*



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	<i>THIS IS BEST</i>		
	Long-Term	<i>BETTER</i>		



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	3c		
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	BEST ✓		
	Long-Term	✓		



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term	I only like this option as the northbound lane is removed at segment 3		The right turn lane to access southbound is too short - traffic will backup be ^{have} congestion from 8:30am to 5pm
	Long-Term	Again - remove northbound lane, not southbound	if you remove the southbound lane that will be a problem to access from 8:30am to 5pm if you remove the northbound lane instead on southbound, congestion at the same between Alameda Blvd & Donnell Drive	
C	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			if Hovna will be added on east side of Moraga Rd between Alameda and Donnell - better to go from 1 lane to 2 lanes southbound at Donnell Drive

not enough

best choice

too much

B



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term	2 bike lanes	4 lanes unneeded Only one sidewalk	No separation for bike lanes
	Long-Term	4 side walks + bike lanes on both sides	4 traffic lanes & 2 parking lanes excessive	No separation for bike lanes
B	Short-Term	Bikes accommodated on both sides	No sidewalk on East	Why 2 lanes on one side? No big peak traffic difference.
	Long-Term	Accommodates bikes + ped on both sides.	Extra north bound lane unnecessary	Maybe too wide.
C	Short-Term	Bikes + pedestrian access on both sides	some homes may lose street parking.	
	Long-Term	center turn lane accommodates bikes + pedestrians		Maybe a separated bike lanes would be better than a multi-use path.

Too wide.



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			VERY EXCITED ABOUT MULTIUSE PATHS
	Long-Term			✓ ✓
C	Short-Term	SEPARATED MULTIUSE		^ --
	Long-Term	✓ ✓		✓ ✓



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term	Regarding Bikes!	Concis Pedestrian crossings?	police directions
	Long-Term	we will mostly		traffic at 3pm
B	Short-Term	a professional bike teams	change light to Red Signal	exit time from all schools
	Long-Term	for for racing.	which stops the traffic	as in S.F.
C	Short-Term	b) few bikers for transportation		
	Long-Term		remove blinky light	



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term	/	/	/
	Long-Term	/	/	/
B	Short-Term		cannot get out of side streets at peak times	
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	can get out of side street!	would like to reduce street parking.	x-walk to get to bus stop (at David)
	Long-Term	s/w both sides underground utilities		

*
yo!

Very dangerous now.



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term	The 80' Accommodate all needs	Bike lane on one side only	
B	Short-Term			generally multi use paths are a problem
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	Like that it would be for a trial basis Like the turn lane idea	* Keep 2 lanes southbound make it 1 lane northbound	
	Long-Term	Love multi-use path and bike lanes and walking path!		



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term	Short & Long } (A)	Design with the notion that there should be limited parking on the streets, and use the space not used for parking for ped/bike instead	
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term		Short & Long } (C)	This option goes too far in turning the corridor into a bike/Red Passage. It's important to keep traffic flowing well
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term		CONSIDER SIDEWALK CANTILEVERED OVERLOOK AT CREEK IMPROVEMENT POINTS	
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments		
A	Short-Term	NO	/	Do not consider		
	Long-Term			3 people have		
B	Short-Term			NO	/	<u>Died here</u>
	Long-Term					
C	Short-Term	SAFEST	Raised ^{barrier} area to protect Bicycles			
	Long-Term	Awesome!	No tree poles.			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved? ^{SEPARATE} like of	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term		PED PATH BOTH SIDES, ALT PARKING	
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			<p><u>ALL</u> Like to see an overstreet Bridge for pedestrians from Rheem Center to area near the current Vet. building - especially after all those new homes are built.</p>
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	SIDEWALKS + PARKING LANE	the	
	Long-Term	SIDEWALKS + PARKING LANE		

multi-use path on west side



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term	2 direction for bikes		
	Long-Term	Seems to have everything except multiuse		
B	Short-Term	Turn lane slows NB 2 directions for Bike		
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	Turn lane	Is a multiuse lane needed beside a bike lane?	
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term	Nothing		Not much improvement
	Long-Term			" "
B	Short-Term	Improvement		Improvement but could do even more.
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	Best		Like this option the most. it helps with parking turning & pedestrians
	Long-Term			



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	<i>① turn lane in center ② sidewalks on both sides</i>		
	Long-Term	<i>I like this option best - see above</i>		



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term	<i>doesn't lose a lane</i>		
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			<i>Relieved the lane lost is south bound</i>
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term	<i>ped path/bike lane both directions</i>		
	Long-Term			

understand concern for left turn rear end accidents

CARLISS INTERSECTION NEEDS A LIGHT!



Segment 3 Comment Card

September 29, 2014

Design Option		What do you like about this option?	What could be improved?	Additional Comments
A	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
B	Short-Term			
	Long-Term			
C	Short-Term			<i>I do not want to see more traffic lights!</i>
	Long-Term			