



Understanding Moraga's Hillside Regulations PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

Town of Moraga
May 30, 2014

Prepared by:



Understanding Moraga's Hillside Regulations PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

Town of Moraga
May 30, 2014

Prepared by:



1625 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 300
Berkeley, California 94709
510.848.3815
510.848.4315 (f)

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: Introduction.....	1
The Hillside and Ridgelines Project.....	2
Document Overview.....	4
CHAPTER 2: Moraga’s Hillside Regulations.....	7
A. MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space	7
1. What is MOSO Open Space? What is non-MOSO Open Space?	7
2. What land uses are allowed in open space areas?	7
3. What is residential density, and how much density is permitted in Open Space areas?	8
4. What other development standards apply to residential development in Open Space areas?	12
B. Ridgelines.....	14
1. What is a “ridgeline” in Moraga, and where are they located?.....	14
2. Is development allowed on or near ridgelines?.....	15
C. Steep-Slope Areas.....	16
1. Is development allowed in steep-slope areas?.....	16
2. How is “average slope” calculated?.....	19
D. High Risk Areas	20
1. What are “high risk” areas?	20
2. Where are high risk areas and how was this determined?	20
3. Can the status of a high-risk area change?.....	23
4. Can geologic hazard remediation be used to change the status of a high-risk area?.....	23
5. Are landslides the only hazards in hillside areas? How can we know where the most hazardous areas are located	25
6. What is the definition of “development?”	26

E.	Natural Resources	26
1.	What kinds of wildlife, habitat, and other natural resources are in hillside areas?.....	26
2.	Must new development protect these natural resources?	35
F.	Scenic Corridors	35
1.	What is a scenic corridor?	35
2.	Do any special rules apply to development adjacent to a scenic corridor?	36
3.	What about hillside areas visible from scenic corridors?	36
G.	Project Design	39
1.	What are the Town’s design requirements for new hillside development?	39
H.	Grading	40
1.	What is a grading permit? When is one required, and who approves it?	40
2.	What are the Town’s basic requirements for grading?	42
3.	Are there more specific rules that grading projects need to follow?	43
4.	When is grading prohibited?	45
I.	Permits and Approvals	45
1.	What permits are required to develop hillside and ridgeline areas?	45
2.	What is a Hillside Development Permit?	46
3.	What is a Planned Development District?	47
4.	What type of development is allowed in a Planned Development District?	47
5.	What is the process for the Town to approve a Planned Development?	47
CHAPTER 3: Initial List of Issues for Further Discussion		51

APPENDICES

- A. Map Descriptions
- B. Text of MOSO Ballot Initiative
- C. Guidelines for Interpreting and Implementing the Moraga Open Space Initiative (MOSO Guidelines)
- D. Title 14: Grading Ordinance
- E. Chapter 8.52 (MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space)
- F. Chapter 8.48 (Planned Development District)
- G. Chapter 8.128 (Ridgeline Protection)
- H. Chapter 8.136 (Slope Density)
- I. Chapter 8.132 (Scenic Corridors)
- J. Relevant General Plan Policies and Definitions and Relevant Design Guidelines

Diagrams

Diagram 1	Residential Density	8
Diagram 2	Illustration of Lot Development Standards	12
Diagram 3	Clustered Development	13
Diagram 4	Example Slope Calculation	19
Diagram 5	Types of Landslides Common in Moraga	24
Diagram 6	Maximum Gradient	44
Diagram 7	Rounding Off of Slopes.....	44
Diagram 8	Conformance to Surrounding Terrain	44
Diagram 9	Planned Development Process	49

Maps

Map 1	Moraga Hillside Areas	5
Map 2	Open Space Lands	9
Map 3	Development: MOSO Ridgelines	17
Map 4	Moraga Development Capability Map	21
Map 5	FEMA Flood Zones.....	27
Map 6	Wildfire Hazard Areas	29
Map 7	Liquefaction Susceptibility	31
Map 8	Major Hydrology and Drainage Patterns.....	33
Map 9	Scenic Corridors and Hillside Visibility.....	37

Table

Table 1	Permits Typically Required for New Residential Subdivisions in Hillside Areas	45
---------	---	----

This page has been intentionally left blank.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Hillsides and ridgelines are a core component of Moraga’s unique identity. They create the picturesque setting for the town’s semi-rural character. They are an environmental resource with open space and habitat critical for a healthy environment. Moraga’s hillsides and ridgelines contribute to a high quality of life, which residents wish to protect and preserve for future generations to enjoy.

Hillsides and ridgelines are also part of a larger fabric of community values. As described in the Town’s General Plan, residents also value the ability to easily move around in town and commute to work. They value local shopping and commercial services, and high-quality community facilities such as schools, parks, and recreational facilities. Residents also value a variety of housing options that meet the needs of current and future residents.

Different community values can come into conflict when development occurs. This is particularly true with development in Moraga’s hillside and ridgeline areas. Many residents are familiar with a long history of conflict over hillside development, which prompted voters to adopt the Moraga Open Space Ordinance (MOSO) in 1986. As development projects have come forward, even with MOSO in place, each has been the subject of continued and on-going debate and controversy, lengthy and complex approval processes, and heated discussion on all sides.

This conflict is caused in part by disagreements over fundamental questions of growth and change in the community. But conflict is also caused by disagreements over the meaning of specific development rules and regulations. For example, what exactly does “protect ridgelines” mean? Can remediation be used to increase allowable density in geologic hazard areas? Unresolved disagreements over these and other questions leave all sides frustrated—whether it’s residents concerned about hillside development, or applicants or property owners who lack certainty about the rules, and the likelihood or necessary steps for project approval.



View north from Sanders Ridge foothills toward Corliss area



View northwest toward Mulholland and Campolindo Ridges from Saint Mary’s College

THE HILLSIDES AND RIDGELINES PROJECT

With these questions in mind, The Town Council initiated the Hillside and Ridgelines project in late 2013. The project aims to make targeted amendments to existing regulations to clarify requirements, increase certainty, and better support the town's goals and values. The project will also create clear, factual, and technically-sound background data to support future decision making.

The project will look at rules that apply to all of Moraga's hillside areas, not just those in MOSO Open Space. Map 1 shows the general location of hillside areas in Moraga based on slope and elevation. Moraga's hillside rules and regulations are primarily found in the following documents:

- Moraga General Plan
- Moraga Open Space Ordinance (MOSO)
- Guidelines for Interpreting and Implementing the Moraga Open Space Initiative ("MOSO Guidelines")
- Moraga Design Guidelines
- Grading Ordinance (Municipal Code Title 14)
- Moraga Zoning Ordinance, particularly chapters 8.48 (Planned Development District), 8.52 (MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space), 8.128 (Ridgeline Protection), 8.132 (Scenic Corridors), 8.136 (Slope Density)

Because MOSO was originally adopted as a voter initiative, the Town may not amend it without a vote of the people. Other documents may be amended as part of this project. This project may also result in new regulatory tools, such as a new hillside overlay zone or criteria for assessing visual impacts. The Town may also simplify existing regulations or entirely eliminate existing regulations that are unnecessary or duplicative.

The Hillside and Ridgelines project began in 2014 and will include the following tasks:

1. Project Initiation – Receive preliminary community input on key hillside issues
(completed)
2. Background Analysis (including this report)– Develop background data and maps to address key issues
(Mid 2014)
3. Hillside Regulation Options – Prepare options for how to address key issues
(Late 2014)
4. Draft Regulations – Prepare draft amendments to Town's policies and regulations
(Early 2015)
5. Review and Adoption – Adopt amended policies and regulations
(Mid 2015)

WHAT IS THE MORAGA OPEN SPACE ORDINANCE (MOSO)?

Moraga voters approved the *Moraga Open Space Ordinance* (MOSO) in 1986. MOSO limits residential densities in certain areas designated as “Open Space Lands.” MOSO also prohibits development in high slope areas and near ridgelines within MOSO Open Space Lands. Map 2 in Chapter 2 shows the boundaries of MOSO Open Space Lands.

As called for by MOSO, the Town Council adopted the *Guidelines for Interpreting and Implementing the Moraga Open Space Initiative* in 1986. Since adoption, these “MOSO Guidelines” have been updated three times—in 1987, 1992, and 1999. The MOSO Guidelines provide detailed guidance on the interpretation and application of the MOSO Initiative. Key contents of the MOSO Guidelines include definition of terms, rules for calculating slope of a development site, procedures for determining allowable density, and details on “high risk area” determinations in MOSO Open Space.

MOSO is also implemented through other Town documents, including the General Plan, Design Guidelines, and Zoning Ordinance. All Town policies and regulations must be consistent with MOSO.

Community participation is an essential part of the Hillsides and Ridgelines project. The Town aims to provide for a fair, open, and transparent process and to solicit a diversity of opinions and points of view. The Town also seeks to promote civil and constructive engagement and to approach difficult issues in the spirit of creative problem solving.

Over the course of the project the Town will host at least three community workshops to receive public input. Residents may also provide input through study sessions with the Town Council and Planning Commission, meetings of the Steering Committee, and formal public hearings.

The project is being guided by a six-member Steering Committee composed of members of the Town Council, Planning Commission, Design Review Board, and Parks and Recreation Commission. The Steering Committee will provide feedback and direction on draft project materials to Town staff and will help ensure that project outcomes reflect the full diversity of opinions in the community.

For the Hillsides and Ridgelines project the Town will supplement traditional in-person meetings with an on-line discussion forum called Open Town Hall. Key project questions will be posted on Open Town Hall throughout the process. Open Town Hall will make it easier for residents to provide input and will increase the amount and diversity of input received. Town officials will review input provided through Open Town Hall prior to making decisions during this process.

For more information on the Hillsides and Ridgelines Project, see www.moraga.ca.us/hillsides.


DOCUMENT OVERVIEW

This document aims to help the community better understand Moraga’s hillside and ridgeline regulations. These regulations are extensive and complicated, and few people fully understand all of the important details. If more people understand these regulations, the Town can more easily identify and address existing problems in a way that benefits the community.

Chapter 2 explains existing regulations in the format of answers to a series of questions. Questions are organized around eight general topics. Answers to questions are in plain and simple English so that they can be easily understood by the average reader. Maps and diagrams provide additional guidance. Source materials are identified in margins and endnotes for readers who want to take a closer look. These source materials are attached to this document as Appendices.

Chapter 2 also highlights some aspects of the existing regulations that have been identified as potential issues or points of discussion that may be the subject of future study. These issues are noted with a question mark symbol. Highlighted items reflect public input provided at the first community workshop, at stakeholder interviews, and on Open Town Hall. Chapter 3 presents a summary of this initial issue list, with the expectation that the list is a starting point for discussion, and will be refined and/or expanded with additional input from the Steering Committee and community.

The Town released a preliminary draft of this document on May 30, 2014. Town staff welcomes public comments on this preliminary draft submitted by June 18, 2014. Later in the summer, Town staff will release a final draft to correct any errors or omissions found in the preliminary draft.



Data Sources: Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

MAP 1
MORAGA HILLSIDE AREAS

-  Major/Permanent Stream
-  Minor/Intermittent Stream
-  Town Boundary



This page has been intentionally left blank.

CHAPTER 2: MORAGA'S HILLSIDE REGULATIONS

Learning more about Moraga's hillside regulations is the first step toward improving them and making them easier to understand. Moraga's hillsides and ridgelines are integral to Moraga's character and quality of life—this is one of the reasons why hillside regulations are so controversial and complex. The Hillsides and Ridgelines Project aims to promote informed discussion between members of the public and Town officials regarding hillsides and ridgelines. To help facilitate this informed discussion, this chapter introduces and explains Moraga's hillside regulations in concise, easily understood terms. It is acknowledged that the specific wording and interpretation of the regulations is at the heart of much of the current and past debate about hillside development. To the extent possible, the information presented in this chapter offers the most literal and direct meaning stated, without attempting to interpret or construe intent, except where explicitly stated. Points for which there does appear to be ambiguity or a need for clarification are called out in the items flagged with a "Question Mark" icon.

A. MOSO AND NON-MOSO OPEN SPACE

1. What is MOSO Open Space?

What is non-MOSO Open Space?

Areas in Moraga subject to the Moraga Open Space Ordinance (MOSO) are referred to as "MOSO Open Space." MOSO regulations apply only in these areas. Moraga's General Plan Diagram and the Zoning Diagram designate these areas as "MOSO Open Space."

Open space areas in Moraga that are not subject to the Moraga Open Space Ordinance are referred to as "Non-MOSO Open Space." Development regulations for Non-MOSO Open Space are different than for MOSO Open Space. Moraga's General Plan Diagram and the Zoning Diagram designate these areas as "Open Space."

Map 2 shows the boundaries for MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space.

2. What land uses are allowed in open space areas?

"Land use" means the type of activity on a property, or the function served by structures on a property. The following land uses are allowed in both MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space zoning districts:

- Agriculture
- Single-family homes
- Parks and recreational facilities
- Schools

Map 2 shows areas currently designated as MOSO and non-MOSO Open Space. Since MOSO was first adopted, the boundaries have been modified over time, through adoption of map amendments by the Town Council.

Source: Zoning Ordinance Chapter 8.52 (MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space Districts)


Agriculture is a “permitted land use,” meaning it is allowed without Town approval. Single-family homes, parks and recreational facilities, and schools all require a Conditional Use Permit, which is a discretionary approval to which the Town may attach special conditions.

3. What is residential density, and how much density is permitted in Open Space areas?

The number of homes on a development site is referred to as “residential density.” Residential density is typically expressed as dwelling units per acre.

Diagram 1 shows an example of a property with a residential density of 1 unit per 5 acres. Density is calculated by dividing the property area (100 acres) by the number of homes on the property (20).

DIAGRAM 1: RESIDENTIAL DENSITY



Property area = 100 acres
Number of homes = 20
Density = 1 unit per 5 acres


Sources: MOSO Guidelines Section III.C (Increase in Density in Open Space Land)
Zoning Ordinance Section 8.52.060 (Open Space Density)

The maximum density in MOSO Open Space is 1 unit per 20 acres. The Town may approve an increase in density to not more than one unit per 5 acres subject to certain conditions. The maximum density in “high risk areas” in MOSO Open Space is always 1 unit per 20 acres. (See Question D.)

The Town considers the following criteria when approving such increased density:

- Suitability of the site for increased density.
- Potential environmental and public health impacts.
- Distance from high-risk areas and ridgelines.
- Visibility of development, including effect on views of ridgelines from scenic corridors.
- Provision of open space, park, and recreational facilities for the public.

In non-MOSO Open Space the maximum density is determined by the Town on a case-by-case basis. Permitted density must be based on the property’s physical constraints and factors listed above, and must comply with the General Plan.



Date: 5/28/2014

Data Sources: Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

-  MOSO Major Ridgelines
-  MOSO Minor Ridgelines
-  Major/Permanent Stream
-  Minor/Intermittent Stream
-  Town Boundary
- Open Space Lands**
-  MOSO Open Space Land
-  Non-MOSO Open Space Land


MAP 2
OPEN SPACE LANDS

0 0.5 1 Miles 

This page has been intentionally left blank



Non-MOSO open space near Rheem Boulevard



MOSO open space near Sanders Ridge



Vineyards in open space

Photo by Andrew MacFarlane. Used under a Creative Commons License.



Example of potential density in open space


4. What other development standards apply to residential development in Open Space areas?

Sources: Zoning Ordinance Chapter 8.52 (MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space Districts)

Zoning Ordinance Chapter 8.48 (Planned Development District)

“Development standards” refers to the Town’s rules relating to lot size, building size, building height, and setbacks (distance from property lines). Diagram 2 illustrates some of these development standards.

DIAGRAM 2: ILLUSTRATION OF LOT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS



- Ⓐ Lot Depth
- Ⓑ Lot Width
- Ⓒ Front Setback
- Ⓓ Side Setback
- Ⓔ Rear Setback


Within MOSO Open Space, development standards vary depending on the size of the property. For properties less than 10 acres, the Town may set development standards for projects on a case-by-case basis, or may require such a property to be designated Planned Development. At a minimum, these standards need to be consistent with the requirements of MOSO.

Development of property of 10 acres or more, or subdivisions of five or more lots in MOSO Open Space must follow the rules of the Planned Development district. The Planned Development district lists a series of land use classifications that assign potential maximum density (e.g. 1-PD [1 Dwelling Unit per Acre-Planned Development], 3-PD [3 Dwelling Units per Acre-Planned Development], etc.). Depending on the type of Planned Development district and density, the minimum lot size ranges from 10,000 square feet, to 20 acres or more. Minimum lot width, lot depth, and building setbacks are the same as stated in Zoning Ordinance Chapter 8.28 (Two and Three Dwelling Units per Acre Residential Districts). The Planning Commission may allow deviation from these standards if doing so would:

- “Encourage a desirable environment, protect and maintain property values and foster and maintain the health, safety and general welfare of the of the town,”
- Be consistent with the General Plan, and
- Allow “remaining land holdings to be developed primarily as conventional detached single-family subdivisions.”

The Planned Development standards allow for some limited clustering of units on smaller lots.¹ Clustering means that homes are placed closer to each other on a property, while permanently protecting remaining open space. Even though homes are closer to each other, the overall density in a clustered project remains the same as in a standard subdivision design. Diagram 3 illustrates the concept of clustered development.

DIAGRAM 3: CLUSTERED DEVELOPMENT



In non-MOSO Open Space, development standards for projects are set by the Town on a case-by-case basis. The Town’s regulations state that the standards “shall be based upon site constraints.” It should be noted that the Planned Development requirements also apply to development of large parcels of over 10 acres, anywhere in Moraga, whether designated MOSO or not.

¹ 8.48.040.C.1.

B. RIDGELINES

1. What is a “ridgeline” in Moraga, and where are they located?

Sources: MOSO Guidelines
Section II.A
General Plan, page D-4

While the word “ridgeline” has a broad general meaning to describe a type of geographic feature, Moraga’s regulations define, identify, and regulate specific ridgeline features in a particular way.

The MOSO Guidelines define a ridge as the “upper portion of a hill which rises to a crest or ridgeline,” and “ridgeline” as the “centerline or crest of a ridge.”

Moraga’s regulations, including the General Plan, MOSO Guidelines, and Zoning Ordinance, define two types of ridgelines: “major ridgelines” and “minor ridgelines.” Indian Ridge, Sanders Ridge, Mulholland Ridge, and Campolindo Ridge are specifically named as major ridgelines. A minor ridgeline is defined in the MOSO Guidelines and in the General Plan Definitions as any ridgeline, other than a named major ridgeline, that is 800 feet above sea level and is within an area designated as MOSO Open Space by the General Plan.

Map 3 shows the location of major and minor ridgelines in Moraga, based on these definitions, and illustrates the areas on or near ridgelines where development is prohibited. (See Question 2.)

Questions have arisen whether General Plan Policy OS1.6, which addresses ridgeline protection, properly reflects the intent of the MOSO Ordinance. The primary question is whether *all* ridgelines in Moraga should be protected, or whether such protections are reserved for major and minor ridgelines, as defined above, within MOSO Open Space. The definition of “ridgeline” used for the General Plan policies and MOSO regulations is therefore very important.



View west toward Indian Ridge

2. Is development allowed on or near ridgelines?

Development is prohibited within 500 feet of the centerline of a major ridge and on the crests of minor ridgelines. (See Map 2.) Development is also prohibited on minor ridgelines immediately adjacent to and extending into MOSO Open Space if slopes exceed 20 percent and the elevation is greater than 800 feet above sea level. Chapter 8.128 of the Moraga Municipal Code also prohibits development within 500 feet of the centerline of a major ridgeline in areas designated as “private open space” or “public open space – study” by the General Plan. In other ridgeline areas, development is subject to strict design review.

Sources: General Plan, pp. 7-2 & D-4
MOSO Section 3(e)(a)
Zoning Ordinance Section 8.128.020



Development opposite Campolindo Ridge



Protecting Ridgelines. General Plan Policy CD1.5 says “protect ridgelines from development.” This policy, and the related definitions of ridgeline, has been subject to debate. Some feel that the definition of ridgelines, and corresponding protection provided by the General Plan and MOSO Guidelines is inconsistent with that in the MOSO Initiative, which does not appear to explicitly limit ridgeline protection to only ridgelines included in MOSO areas. In addition, there are disagreements about what is meant by “protect”—whether referring to the nature and extent of the physical disturbance of ridgelines, effects on views of ridgelines, or other effects.

- Does General Plan Policy CD1.5 apply to all ridgelines in Moraga, including those outside MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space, or only Major and Minor Ridgelines on MOSO lands?
- What exactly does “protect” mean in the context of hillside development?

C. STEEP-SLOPE AREAS


1. Is development allowed in steep-slope areas?

Sources: MOSO Initiative
Section 3(b)(1)
General Plan Policy LU-1.8

Gradients may be expressed as a ratio of vertical rise to horizontal run. For example, if elevation increases by 50 feet over a horizontal distance of 100 feet, this would be a 50:100 or 1:2 slope. Dividing the vertical rise by the horizontal run and multiplying by 100 yields the percent gradient (or slope).

Such calculations only determine the overall gradient between two points. For an explanation of average slope calculations over larger areas, see Diagram 4, below.

Within MOSO Open Space, development is prohibited on development sites with an average slope of 20 percent or greater. As described elsewhere in this chapter, various other regulations, including the Grading Ordinance (Title 14), MOSO Initiative, and MOSO Guidelines, also address development on steep slopes within and outside of MOSO land, including land at and above 20 and 25 percent grades.



Because of the way overall slope is calculated—based on the average—a given portion of a buildable site may have areas with slopes greater than 20 or 25 percent.

Per General Plan Policy LU1.8, outside of MOSO land, the following restrictions apply to development on land with steep slopes, where “development” is defined in the General Plan to include virtually all types of construction, earthmoving, and change in intensity of land use (also see Question 6):


- Development must be “avoided” on slopes of 20 percent or greater and is permitted only if supported by site-specific analysis
- New homes are prohibited in a development area with an after-graded average slope of 25 percent or greater. (This restriction does not apply to lots legally created after March 1, 1951 or approved by the Town Council after April 15, 2002)

Grading on land with an average predevelopment slope of 25 percent or more within a development area is prohibited without special approval by the Town Council.



Steep-Slope Portions of Development Sites. MOSO Initiative Section 3(b)(1) and General Plan Policy LU-1.8 discourage, prohibit development or require special approval of “development” on slopes greater than 20 or 25 percent.

- Can homes be built on a portion of a site with a slope of greater than 20 percent if the average slope of the site is less than 20 percent?
- Can homes be built on a portion of a site with less than 20 percent slope, if the average slope of the entire site is greater than 20 percent?



Date: 5/28/2014

Data Sources: Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2006, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

- MOSO Major Ridgelines
- 500 ft Buffer of Major Ridgelines
- MOSO Minor Ridgelines
- Major/Permanent Stream
- Minor/Intermittent Stream
- Town Boundary

MAP 3
DEVELOPMENT: MOSO RIDGELINES



This page has been intentionally left blank

2. How is “average slope” calculated?

Per Zoning Ordinance Chapter 8.136, average slope is calculated using the following formula:

$$S = (100 * I * L) / a$$

S = average percent slope

I = contour interval in feet


L = summation of length of all contours in cell²

A = area in acres of cell

a = area in square feet of cell

Diagram 4 shows an example of average slope calculations for a development site. In this example the contour interval is 2 feet, the sum of length of all contours in the areas shown is 838 feet, and the cell area is 6,200 feet. Using these numbers in the formula above, the average percent slope of the area is 27 percent.

DIAGRAM 4: EXAMPLE SLOPE CALCULATION



$$S = \frac{I \times L}{A} (100) = \frac{2 \times 838}{6,200} (100) = 27\%$$

$$I = 2 \text{ ft.}$$

$$L = 838 \text{ ft.}$$

$$A = 6,200 \text{ sq.ft.}$$


Within MOSO Open Space, average slope is calculated for a more specifically defined area known as a “cell.” A cell is “any polygonal area of at least 10,000 square feet.”³ A development project applicant may define the boundaries of a cell in order to calculate the average slope of a development site. Outside of MOSO, although the formula specified describes a calculation for an entire parcel, the Town typically requires average slope to be calculated for the area of development or site disturbance, which is felt to more accurately address the intent of the regulations to control development on steep slopes.

² The use of “cells” is only included in the MOSO Guidelines. Chapter 8.136 of the Municipal Code uses “parcel being considered.”

³ MOSO Guidelines, Section II.A.3.

Sources: Moraga Municipal Code
Section 8.136.020

MOSO Guidelines Section II.A.3



Cell Shape / Slope Calculations. Moraga Municipal Code §8.136.020 and MOSO Guidelines §II.A.3 guide slope calculations. Project applicants may define a cell as any polygonal shape provided it has an area of at least 10,000 square feet. Some people believe “contorted” or highly irregular cell shapes allow applicants to circumvent slope development restrictions in MOSO lands by drawing the polygon to capture all areas of less steep grades, regardless of whether the shape constitutes a logical building site or collection of sites.

- Do the rules for calculating the slope of a site or “cell” need to be modified to better reflect the intent of Moraga’s hillside regulations?
- How could the Town use improved data, or more explicit guidelines to address this question?

D. HIGH RISK AREAS

1. What are “high risk” areas?

High risk areas are areas in MOSO Open Space where development potential may be limited due to the physical characteristics of the site that may create hazards, such as steep slopes, unstable soils, limited access, or poor drainage. Residential development is allowed in high risk areas provided the residential density does not exceed 1 unit per 20 acres.

The high risk area concept does not apply outside of MOSO Open Space.

2. Where are high risk areas and how was this determined?

MOSO Guidelines Exhibit D (Development Capability Map) establishes a preliminary determination of high-risk areas in Moraga. The map was developed and adopted in 1989, to implement provisions of the MOSO Ordinance that call for the Town Council to identify and limit development densities on high risk lands. This map, which is based on data available at that time, divides Moraga into a grid of 15 by 15 meter squares, and assigns each square a numerical value between 0 and 9. A value of 0 means the square has the least development capability (i.e. is most constrained), and 9 means the square has most development capability (i.e. is least constrained). Per the MOSO Guidelines, Squares designated 1, 2, 3, or 4 are determined, on a preliminary basis, to be high-risk.


Development capability within squares was assigned based on six physical attributes: ridgelines, landslide susceptibility, slope, flood hazard, vegetation, and soil erosion. The MOSO Guidelines state that this capability determination is preliminary and governs until more accurate data are approved by the Town.

Map 4 shows the Development Capability Map zoomed into an area near to the Moraga Road and Moraga Way intersection. Squares with a high risk preliminary determination are colored red.

Sources: MOSO Section 3.a
MOSO Guidelines

The process that was used to create the 1989 High Risk Areas Map was an early example of advanced Geographic Information System (GIS) techniques.

Source: *Spatial Geologic Hazard Analysis in Practice*, David Rogers, Member, ASCE



Source: Comarc Design Systems; PlaceWorks, 2014.

 High Risk Area

This page has been intentionally left blank

3. Can the status of a high-risk area change?

A property owner can request the reclassification of a designated high-risk area. The Planning Commission makes decisions on requests at a noticed public hearing. Based on information provided by the property owner, the Planning Commission considers the following conditions when deciding on the requested reclassification:

- Evidence of geologic hazards on the site, including landslides, unstable soil, slippage, and erosion.
- Susceptibility to seismic hazards, including landslides, liquefaction, and flooding.
- Presence of natural drainage ways on the site.
- Proximity to an earthquake fault trace.
- Proximity to a body of water of 1 acre or more.

4. Can geologic hazard remediation be used to change the status of a high-risk area?

Engineered changes to a hillside site to reduce exposure to geologic hazards are referred to as “remediation” or “abatement.” Such efforts frequently include extensive earthmoving to excavate landslides and install engineering structures, such as keyways, to stabilize these areas, before earth is replaced and re-contoured to original or modified grades.

MOSO Guidelines state that the Town may change the status of a high-risk area if the characteristics making it high risk are “abated by appropriate remedial efforts which are consistent with [the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)], the Town’s Environmental Guidelines, and the Goals and Policies of the General Plan.” This statement is silent on whether this abatement may occur as part of a development project that would be prohibited without the abatement. The Town has historically interpreted this statement to mean that remediation within a high-risk area is permitted to increase the permitted density to greater than 1 unit per 20 acres.

Source: MOSO Guidelines
Section D.2




Remediation in High Risk Areas.

MOSO Guidelines Section D.2 addresses High Risk Areas and allow for remediation and reclassification of such areas. There is disagreement as to the purposes for which remediation and reclassification are allowed. Some believe the remediation should only be to remove hazards that threaten public health and safety (e.g. stabilization of a landslide that threatens existing homes or a road). Some feel that if geologic hazards are removed, densities on that portion of a site should be allowed to increase. Others suggest that, because remediation is costly, allowing more development to occur on remediated lands is necessary to pay for and achieve broader public benefits from reducing these types of hazards. (One such example is the Rancho Laguna project, which is remediating a significant landslide area that affects Rheem Boulevard, and includes increased density on remediated portions of the site).


- Can geologic hazards in “high risk” areas be remediated as part of a development project to allow densities greater than 1 unit per 20 acres?
- Should remediation within MOSO areas only be allowed when it would address an existing threat to public health and safety?

DIAGRAM 5: TYPES OF LANDSLIDES COMMON IN MORAGA


In a **rotational landslide**, the boundary between the area of the slide and the underlying bedrock or soil is curved, so as the top of the landslide slips downward, material toward the bottom is thrust upward and outward before continuing downhill.



Earthflows have a characteristic “hourglass” shape. This shape is created when the source area of the flow destabilizes and “drains” into a narrower track, before spreading out again upon reaching flatter terrain.



Debris flows are typically caused by intense flow of water across the surface of steep slope areas. Loose soil, rock, organic matter, air, and water combine into a slurry that moves powerfully and rapidly downhill, and then spreads out and slows down upon reaching flatter terrain.



Source: USGS

As part of the Moraga Hillside and Ridgelines Project, new landslide maps will be prepared for the town of Moraga.

Some landslides in Moraga may be good candidates for remediation, either as part of a development or as a stand-alone project. Remediation means repairing or otherwise modifying a hillside or adjacent area to prevent landslides. Such remediation may be necessary to protect existing or future residents and structures. The list below describes a few key techniques, which may be used either separately or in combination to remediate landslides:

- **Mass Grading:** All debris from the landslide is removed and replaced with engineered fill that is notched into underlying bedrock. This approach requires drainage systems and may cause significant environmental disturbance.
- **Stitch Piers:** Landslide debris is pinned in place with steel-reinforced concrete piers placed into the landslide debris and the underlying bedrock. Although, numerous piers may be necessary at a variety of elevations, they are usually hidden under the surface.
- **Buttress:** Engineered fill is placed at the toe (bottom) or the landslide to prevent the landslide mass from advancing downhill. This approach usually also requires improvements to surface and underground drainage.



High Risk Area with steep slope and erosion



Example of slope remediation along Moraga Road in Orinda (Google Streetview)

5. Are landslides the only hazards in hillside areas? How can we know where the most hazardous areas are located

Landslides are the major hazard in hillside areas, though other hazards such as flooding and wildfire exist. Maps 5, 6, and 7 show flooding, wildfire, and liquefaction hazards in Moraga based on data available from State and federal sources, including FEMA, CalFire, and the United States Geological Survey.

The 1989 “Development Capability” map represents the most recent effort to map and identify natural hazards in Moraga. The available data, technology, and methods to map landslides and other hazards have vastly improved in the past 25 years, through Geographic Information Systems (GIS), increased computer power, and more advanced remote sensing techniques. The Town, as part of the Hillside and Ridgelines project, is working to develop updated mapping of landslides and other soil instability issues to help inform the community about the nature and location of these hazard areas in Moraga.

6. What is the definition of “development?”

Moraga’s hillside and ridgeline regulations apply to proposed “development,” so the definition of this term is important. The Town defines development broadly to include most construction and grading activities. Moraga’s General Plan defines development as follows:

Development means the placement, discharge or disposal of any material, the grading or removing of any material, the change in the density or intensity of use of land, the subdivision of land, or the construction or erection of a structure. Development does not include:

1. Work necessary to eliminate or prevent a condition which is determined by the Town to be a menace to life, limb or property or adversely affects the safety, use or stability of a public way or drainage way or channel;
2. Establishment of a fire trail approved by the Moraga-Orinda Fire Protection District; or
3. A road together with attendant underground utilities may cross a ridge, if the Planning Commission finds that the crossing is necessary for the orderly development of the Town and does not conflict with the Municipal Code.


E. NATURAL RESOURCES

1. What kinds of wildlife, habitat, and other natural resources are in hillside areas?

Map 8 shows major and minor streams in Moraga. Major creeks are Indian Creek, Moraga Creek, Laguna Creek, Las Trampas Creek, and Rimer Creek. Creeks and riparian corridors are often located outside of hillside areas as they are generally at lower elevations. However, some portions of creeks, their tributaries, and associated vegetation and riparian habitat are located in MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space.



Mulholland Ridge contains potential wildlife habitat including native trees and grassland



Date: 5/28/2014


Data Sources: FEMA, 2009; Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

-  MOSO Major Ridgelines
-  MOSO Minor Ridgelines
-  Town Boundary
-  FEMA Flood Zones
-  100-year Flood Zone (1% annual probability)
-  500-Year Flood Zone (0.2% annual probability)

MAP 5
FEMA FLOOD ZONES



This page has been intentionally left blank



Date: 5/28/2014


Data Sources: CalFire, 2007 & 2009; Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

- MOSO Major Ridgelines
 - MOSO Minor Ridgelines
 - Major/Permanent Stream
 - Minor/Intermittent Stream
 - Town Boundary
- Very High
 - High
 - Moderate
 - Urban Unzoned

MAP 6
WILDFIRE HAZARD AREAS



This page has been intentionally left blank



Date: 5/28/2014

Data Sources: Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2006, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

- MOSO Major Ridgelines
- MOSO Minor Ridgelines
- Major/Permanent Stream
- Minor/Intermittent Stream
- Town Boundary


USGS Liquefaction Susceptibility

Very High	Water
High	
Moderate	
Low	
Very Low	

MAP 7
LIQUEFACTION SUSCEPTIBILITY

0 0.5 1 Miles

This page has been intentionally left blank



Data Sources: Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

Date: 5/28/2014

-  Town Boundary
-  Major/Permanent Stream
-  Minor/Intermittent Stream

MAP 8
MAJOR HYDROLOGY AND DRAINAGE PATTERNS



This page has been intentionally left blank

2. Must new development protect these natural resources?

Moraga’s General Plan calls for the Town to protect wildlife areas and creeks, streams, and other waterways. General Plan policies also direct the Town to connect open space areas to provide wildlife corridors and to preserve tree-covered areas. In the context of natural resources, protection means maintaining those resources in an unadulterated (or restored) state, especially by disallowing urbanized land uses or other development.

Source: General Plan Policies OS2.1, OS2.2, OS2.3, OS2.4, OS2.5, OS2.8, OS2.9

To approve a proposed hillside development project, the Town must find the project consistent with these General Plan policies. The Town also must identify potential environmental impacts from a proposed project as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). CEQA, in turn, includes various criteria or “thresholds” for determining if an impact is significant, and places particular emphasis on the protection of certain types of natural resources, such as special status wildlife species. Impacts can be either direct or indirect. For example, disturbing a wetland area during development would be a direct impact; alternatively, if a development resulted in changed drainage patterns such that a downstream wetland dried out, this would be an indirect impact. Although completely avoiding natural resources is one way to protect them, CEQA also encourages impacts to be “mitigated” or lessened through specific measures. There is often disagreement about what resources are evaluated, what level of impact determined is “significant,” and whether required measures are sufficient or appropriate to properly mitigate impacts to a “less than significant” level. These types of concerns frequently reflect those expressed about whether or how new development is adequately “protecting” natural resources.

F. SCENIC CORRIDORS

1. What is a scenic corridor?

Scenic corridors are roadways with a visual character important to Moraga’s identity and semi-rural feel.

Source: General Plan Policies LU 1.9, LU-1.12, CD-1.3, and CD3.1 through CD-3.7

Moraga’s General Plan identifies the following roadways as scenic corridors:

- St. Mary’s Road
- Canyon Road
- Moraga Way
- Moraga Road
- Rheem Boulevard
- Camino Pablo
- Bollinger Canyon Road
- Donald Drive (along ridgeline of Mulholland Hill)⁴

Map 9 shows the location of these scenic corridors.

⁴ This corridor is listed in the Zoning Ordinance, but not in the General Plan

2. Do any special rules apply to development adjacent to a scenic corridor?

Sources: General Plan Policy CD3.1. Zoning Code Section 8.132 (Scenic Corridors)

Yes – the Town must approve the design of all visible permanent structures within 500 feet of a scenic corridor. To approve the proposed structure the Town must determine that the proposal complies with a series of design guidelines. These design guidelines address the structure’s distance from the roadway, preservation of existing site features, structure size, quality of materials, screening of equipment, lighting, grading, vehicle access, parking, landscaping, and tree preservation. The Zoning Code’s guidelines for scenic corridors generally emphasize the natural environment, terrain, and vegetation, and maintenance of natural over human-made features, as well as compatibility with surrounding areas and neighborhoods.

The Design Review Board reviews proposed structures and other features (such as signs) constructed on sites of less than 10 acres. Proposed structures on sites of 10 acres or more are reviewed by the Planning Commission and Design Review Board as part of a Planned Development application. (See Question I.)

3. What about hillside areas visible from scenic corridors?

Sources: General Plan Policy CD1.3, CD1.4

Moraga’s General Plan directs the Town to pay particular attention to protecting viewsheds along the Town’s scenic corridors. General Plan policies also state that the Town shall preserve near and distant views of the natural landscape from valley areas.


Map 9 also shows the most visible hillside areas as viewed from scenic corridors. Visibility was determined using computer software that calculated visibility of hillside areas every 200 feet along the scenic corridors. Map 9 was created for the Hillside and Ridgelines project and has not been used previously by the Town to evaluate proposed projects. Although this map is at a townwide scale, and does not describe the visual quality or character of these hillsides, it serves to illustrate which areas are most visible or prominent. Appendix A to this document provides additional technical background on this map and the other maps featured in this report.

To approve a proposed hillside project, the Town must find the project consistent with the General Plan, including viewshed protection policies. However, the Town’s Design Guidelines and Zoning Regulations do not currently specify criteria or standards for protecting viewsheds in the same manner as they do for areas within the 500-foot scenic corridor buffer.



Viewshed Protection. General Plan Policy CD1.3 directs the Town to protect viewsheds along Town’s scenic corridors, but the Town has not adopted any detailed standards or criteria for evaluating the visual effects of development on these viewsheds. Many communities define or map their most important viewsheds or visual resources to help guide this type of evaluation.


- What criteria should the Town use to determine compliance with General Plan Policy CD1.3?
- What standards should the Town use to determine if a project has a significant adverse impact on a visual resource?
- What are the Town’s most important viewsheds?



Date: 5/28/2014

Data Sources: Town of Moraga, 2013; Contra Costa County, 2013; USGS, 2006, 2013; PlaceWorks, 2014.

- Town Boundary
- MOSO Minor Ridgelines
- MOSO Major Ridgelines
- Major/Permanent Stream
- Minor/Intermittent Stream



- Town-designated Scenic Corridors
- 500-foot Buffer of Scenic Corridors

SCENIC CORRIDORS AND HILLSIDE VISIBILITY

Visibility determined using view-points every 200 feet along Town-designated scenic corridors.



MAP 9

This page has been intentionally left blank



Facing southeast along the Rheem Boulevard Scenic Corridor

G. PROJECT DESIGN

1. What are the Town’s design requirements for new hillside development?

Moraga’s General Plan establishes basic design requirements for hillside development. Policy CD.1.5 says that hillside development needs to:

- Conform to the site’s natural setting.
- Retain the character of existing landforms.
- Preserve significant native vegetation.
- Encourage location of building sites so that visual impacts are minimized.
- Maintain a low profile.
- Use landscaping to blend hillside structures with the natural setting.

Sources: General Plan
Policy CD.1.5

Moraga’s Design Guidelines describe additional expectations for the design of hillside development. The design guidelines address the following topics:

- Location of structures on a site
- General visual impacts
- Rooflines
- Building profile
- Landscaping
- Grading
- Fences, walls, and other accessory structures
- Lot size
- Views
- Roads

H. GRADING

1. What is a grading permit? When is one required, and who approves it?

The Town issues two types of grading permits: discretionary permits required by the Town's Grading Ordinance (Title 14) and ministerial permits issued by the Building Division⁵. "Discretionary" means that Town officials exercise individual judgment as a basis to approve or deny the permit. Ministerial means that Town staff approves or denies the permit based only on fixed standards and objective measurement.

A discretionary grading permit is required to move 50 cubic yards or more of earth or to grade an area of 10,000 square feet or more. The Town may also require a grading permit depending on the distance from a watercourse or adjacent property, depth of grading, use and location of fill, and resulting slope. Certain activities are exempt from the permit requirements, such as utility trenches, below-grade excavation for basements and building footings, and emergency work.

Moraga's Grading Ordinance defines grading as "the physical movement of earth material by forces other than nature including, but not limited to, excavating, filing, compacting, hauling, and related work, excluding discing[sic]."

Depending on the type of project, grading permits are approved by the Design Review Administrator, the Design Review Board, or the Town Council. The Design Review Administrator approves permits for grading on slopes less than 20 percent slope and involving less than 200 cubic yards of soil. The Design Review Board approves permits for grading on slopes greater than or equal to 20 percent, or on predevelopment average slopes of less than 25 percent, with soil disturbance less than or equal to 200 cubic yards. The Town Council approves permits for grading on predevelopment average slopes of 25 percent or greater, following a recommendation from the Planning Commission.

⁵ These ministerial grading permits are issued by the Contra Costa County Department of Conservation and Development, on behalf of the Town of Moraga.



Design Guidelines influence the appearance of Moraga's homes and neighborhoods

2. What are the Town’s basic requirements for grading?

Moraga’s General Plan and Design Guidelines establish the Town’s basic expectations for grading in hillside areas.

In general, grading must:

- Preserve the natural topography of the land.
- Achieve a natural appearance by following natural contours and blending with natural slopes.
- Round off graded slopes in a manner that conforms to the natural contours of the land and to the surrounding terrain.
- Avoid sharp angles produced by earth moving, specifically at the top and toe of graded slopes.
- Minimize the displacement of soil and use of retaining walls.
- Blend slopes with the contours of contiguous properties to create smooth transitions.
- Minimize scars caused by cuts, fills, and drainage benches on natural slopes.
- Adapt new road construction to topography and natural features.

Moraga’s Grading Ordinance also states that “all grading should be balanced on site.” Balanced grading means that cut and fill amounts on a site are equal, requiring no import or export of materials. In Moraga balanced on-site grading is preferred, but not mandatory.



Balanced Grading. Grading Ordinance §14.48.030 states that “All grading should be balanced on site,” indicating that it is a guideline, rather than a strict standard. In some cases, particularly where there is significant excavation required, but not a corresponding need for fill material, strict adherence to the guideline may mean that less of the natural topography of the site will be preserved because the deposited fill needs to be placed on an area that might not otherwise need to be disturbed.

- Are there circumstances where not balancing cut/fill on site is acceptable?

3. Are there more specific rules that grading projects need to follow?

Moraga’s Grading Ordinance establishes more specific design standards for hillside grading. Although these standards are technical in nature, they strongly affect the aesthetics of new developments.

For example, the design standards of the Grading Ordinance include requirements that developments conform to the surrounding terrain and that slopes must be “rounded-off.” Illustrated in Diagrams 6, 7, and 8, these standards serve to give developed areas a more natural appearance and blend them with adjacent natural areas.

Although these design standards provide ample guidance for development projects, some question whether the standards are too rigid. Such rigidity also creates the potential for loopholes, because even though a development might meet all the requirements, the resulting topography may not be ideal for a particular location.

Many of these provisions, such as maximum gradients, are established as standards, but allow for deviation from those standards where strict adherence is infeasible, or where justified by site-specific conditions or supported by technical studies. Maximum gradient is key issue that exemplifies some of the perceived shortcomings of the current grading ordinance.

Sources:
Grading Ordinance
Sections:
14.48.011
14.48.013
14.48.014
14.48.021
14.48.025
14.48.026
14.48.027



Grading Standards. Chapter 14.48, the Grading Ordinance adopts a set of detailed grading standards with limited flexibility. Municipal Code Chapter 14.48.011 and 14.48.021 generally limit maximum gradients for cut and fill slopes to a ratio of three horizontal to one vertical. In some cases, however, steeper slopes or other deviation from current standards may be preferable, even if strict adherence to current standards is technically feasible.

- Should any specific grading standards be changed?
- Should the Town continue to apply a strict limit on maximum gradient for cut/fill slopes?
- Should slopes steeper than three horizontal to one vertical (3:1) be allowed, provided they are adequately engineered for stability?

DIAGRAM 6: MAXIMUM GRADIENT




DIAGRAM 7: ROUNDING OFF OF SLOPES





DIAGRAM 8: CONFORMANCE TO SURROUNDING TERRAIN



4. When is grading prohibited?

In all areas of Moraga, grading is prohibited on predevelopment average slopes steeper than 25 percent. Grading that could result in materials being washed, eroded, or moved off of the property is also prohibited.

Source: Grading Ordinance
Section 14.04.033 (Grading -
Restrictions)

The Town may allow grading on slope of over 25 percent if required for landslide repair, slope stabilization or other emergencies, or at the specific direction of the Town Council. The General Plan says that the Town Council may approve grading on slopes over 25 percent if “supported by site-specific analysis and shown that a minimum amount of grading is proposed in the spirit of and not incompatible with all other policies of the General Plan.”

Specific areas in Moraga may have additional grading restrictions. In MOSO Open Space, grading is prohibited on slopes of 20 percent or greater, crests of minor ridgelines, and within 500 feet of the centerline of a major ridge.

I. PERMITS AND APPROVALS

1. What permits are required to develop hillside and ridgeline areas?

Permits required for a hillside development project vary depending on the type of project.

Table 1 lists the permits required for a typical residential subdivision in a hillside area. Permits are approved by the Design Review Administrator, Design Review Board, Planning Commission, and Town Council depending on the type of permit. Additional permits, such as a variance or an encroachment permit, may be required for some projects.

TABLE 1 PERMITS TYPICALLY REQUIRED FOR NEW RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS IN HILLSIDE AREAS

Permit	When Required	Who Approves
Conditional Use Permit	Land uses other than agriculture in MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space	Planning Commission
Hillside Development Permit	Development on slopes 20 percent or more	Varies (See Question I.)
Grading Permit	Moving 50 cubic yards or more of earth or grading an area of 10,000 square feet or more	Varies (See Question H.)
Tentative Map	Subdivision of land	Planning Commission
Final Map	Subdivision of land	Town Council
Design Review	New structures, landscaping, lighting, etc.	Design Review Board
Conceptual, General, and Precise Development Plan	Development in Planned Development District (See Question I.)	Planning Commission

Findings required by Municipal Code Section 8.136.070, Standards for review and approval of hillside development permit:

A. In reviewing an application the reviewing body shall consider the following factors: slope, soil instability, drainage, soil characteristics, seismic factors, existing and future residential development, view shed, access, potential traffic congestion, fire risk, noise, glare, wildlife, dust and impact on existing vegetation.

B. The site plan shall provide an appropriate living space on a site consistent with the site's constraints in relation to the review and approval criteria set forth in this section.

C. A building site which is adjacent to a steep slope not abutting a ridge shall be located at the lowest possible elevation on the site.

D. Residential development that is adjacent to a steep downslope shall be designed so that the principal and accessory structures blend with the topography.

2. What is a Hillside Development Permit?

A Hillside Development Permit (HDP) is required to grade, clear, construct upon, or alter land with slope of 20 percent or greater. This requirement applies throughout Moraga, not just in Open Space areas. Grading on hillsides requires a Hillside Development Permit even if a grading permit is not required.

A Hillside Development Permit is approved by the review authority for the land use entitlement. For example, if the project requires Planning Commission approval of a Conditional Use Permit, the Planning Commission also approves the Hillside Development Permit. For a project that requires only a building permit, the Design Review Board approves the Hillside Development Permit.

To approve a Hillside Development Permit, the Town must find that the project fits within constraints on the site, based on consideration of a broad range of factors. Constraints include slope, geologic conditions, drainage pattern, surrounding development, natural wildlife, fire risk, views, and traffic. The Town may require lot areas greater than the minimum required by the applicable zoning district to ensure a "suitable building site."

While it is not explicit in the Chapter, the Town has required a Hillside Development Permit for all modifications to structures on land with a slope of 20 percent or greater. This can include small projects on existing developed single-family lots, such as retaining walls, accessory structures, and small additions, as well as new subdivisions. The Town has not formally adopted a policy to guide the implementation of Chapter 8.136 of the Municipal Code, and, anecdotally, this requirement has not been uniformly applied over time.



Hillside Development Permit. The Planning and Zoning Ordinance (Title 8) requires Hillside Development Permits (HDP) for all projects on slopes of 20 percent or greater, without considering other factors. Further, the policy is not explicit as to whether an HDP is required if any portion of a site has a slope of 20 percent or greater, or only where the development would affect or disturb such a slope. Finally, Chapter 8.136 of the Municipal Code has been in the Town's regulations since Moraga incorporated, before MOSO, the Design Guidelines, or the Grading Ordinance were adopted. Some have suggested that these newer, more detailed regulations make the Hillside Development Permit redundant or duplicative of other permits or approvals otherwise required by the Town.

- Should a Hillside Development Permit be required for all projects, even development on a single-family lot?
- Is a Hillside Development Permit required if any portion of a property has greater than 20 percent slope?
- Are Hillside Development Permit requirements redundant for projects that otherwise need grading or building permits, design review, or MOSO approval?

3. What is a Planned Development District?

A Planned Development district is a type of zoning district for large-scale development, which allows for flexibility in development standards and permitted land uses. All parcels 10 acres or more are zoned as Planned Development. Subdivisions of 5 or more units within MOSO Open Space are also subject to Planned Development district requirements.

Source: Zoning Ordinance Chapter 8.48(Planned Development District)

4. What type of development is allowed in a Planned Development District?

For a Planned Development District that is in MOSO Open Space, the permitted land uses are the same as in the MOSO Open District. Agriculture is allowed by right; single-family homes, parks and recreational facilities, and schools require a Conditional Use Permit. Outside of MOSO Open Space, any land use consistent with the underlying General Plan land use designation is permitted.

The minimum lot size ranges from 5,000 to 40,000 square feet, with allowances for some clustering of homes and variation in lot size. Development standards (e.g. building height, setbacks) are set by the Planning Commission, and usually parallel those of the residential land use district that is most similar to the intensity and type of development proposed. However, the town may *“vary the development standards and impose additional standards when it is desirable to do so to encourage a desirable environment, protect and maintain property values and community amenities, and foster and maintain the health, safety and general welfare of the town.”* (MMC §8.48.060.B).

Land uses consistent with the underlying General Plan land use designation are permitted.

5. What is the process for the Town to approve a Planned Development?

Approval of a Planned Development project follows a three-step process: (1) Conceptual Development Plan (CDP); (2) General Development Plan (GDP); (3) Precise Development Plan (PDP). Diagram 9 describes the type of information required for these steps.

The Planning Commission approves each type of development plan. To approve a Conceptual Development Plan the Planning Commission must make certain findings, including that the project:

- Creates an environment of “sustained desirability and ‘stability’”
- Is served by adequate infrastructure, including streets and utilities
- Will not generate traffic that overloads the Town’s street network
- Includes design features and amenities that warrant exceptions to basic zoning standards
- Is compatible with surrounding areas

Chapter 8.48 of the Municipal Code does not identify specific findings for approval of General Development Plan or a Precise Development Plan. The Town has historically approved General and Precise Development Plans if they are consistent with an approved General Development Plan.

Once a CDP is approved, the Town tends to be limited to a very particular site plan or density because of the requirement for conformance between the CDP and subsequent GDPs and CDPs. This requirement can cause notable challenges when many years elapse between a CDP and GDP approval, especially when market, regulatory, or other conditions have changed. Additionally, securing timely CEQA approval for a project can also be challenging as a result of this process, since physical conditions, CEQA requirements or project features may also change in the time between CDP, GDP, and PDP approvals.



Planned Development Process. Chapter 8.48 of the Planning and Zoning Ordinance in the Municipal Code requires a three-step process for planned developments. Moraga’s three-step Planned Development approval process is lengthy and expensive for project applicants. Because the initial approval confers development rights and details of the proposal are not always available during the conceptual development plan phase, the three-step process can limit the ability to suggest or require changes to a project by the community or the Town in light of new information, regulations or concerns ability to require changes. The three-step process can also limit applicant’s ability to improve a project.

- Should Moraga modify its Planned Development approval process so that the Town has more complete information when making initial approvals?
- Would a modified process provide the public adequate opportunities for comment?

DIAGRAM 9: PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PROCESS



This page has been intentionally left blank

CHAPTER 3:

INITIAL LIST OF ISSUES FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION

The Hillside and Ridgelines Project address a great deal of complex regulation, but the questions at the core of this process are fairly simple. Chapter 2 covered a large amount of information relating to Moraga’s hillside and ridgeline regulations. This chapter briefly restates the key questions and issues brought up in Chapter 2.

As stated in Chapter 1, it is expected that this list will serve as a starting point for discussion, and will be refined and/or expanded with additional input from the Steering Committee and community.

1. Protecting Ridgelines

- 1.1. Does General Plan Policy CD1.5 apply to all ridgelines in Moraga, including those outside MOSO and Non-MOSO Open Space, or only Major and Minor Ridgelines on MOSO lands?
- 1.2. What exactly does “protect” mean in the context of hillside development?

2. Steeply-Sloping Portions of Development Site

- 2.1. Can homes be built on a portion of a site with a slope of greater than 20 percent if the average slope of the site is less than 20 percent?
- 2.2. Can homes be built on a portion of a site with less than 20 percent slope, if the average slope of the entire site is greater than 20 percent?

3. Cell Shape / Slope Calculation

- 3.1. Do the rules for calculating the slope of a site or “cell” need to be modified to better reflect the intent of Moraga’s hillside regulations?
- 3.2. How could the Town use improved data, or more explicit guidelines to address this question?

4. Remediation in High Risk Areas

- 4.1. Can geologic hazards in “high risk” areas be remediated as part of a development project to allow densities greater than 1 unit per 20 acres?
- 4.2. Should remediation within MOSO areas only be allowed when it would address an existing threat to public health and safety?

5. **Viewshed Protection**
 - 5.1. What criteria should the Town use to determine compliance with General Plan Policy CD1.3?
 - 5.2. What standards should the Town use to determine if a project has a significant adverse impact on a visual resource?
 - 5.3. What are the Town's most important viewsheds?
6. **Balanced Grading**
 - 6.1. Are there circumstances where not balancing cut/fill on site is acceptable?
7. **Grading Standards.**
 - 7.1. Should any specific grading standards be changed?
 - 7.2. Should the Town continue to apply a strict limit on maximum gradient for cut/fill slopes?
 - 7.3. Should slopes steeper than three horizontal to one vertical (3:1) be allowed, provided they are adequately engineered for stability?
8. **Hillside Development Permit**
 - 8.1. Should a Hillside Development Permit be required for all projects, even development on a single-family lot?
 - 8.2. Is a Hillside Development Permit required if any portion of a property has greater than 20 percent slope?
 - 8.3. Are Hillside Development Permit requirements redundant for projects that otherwise need grading or building permits, design review, or MOSO approval?
9. **Planned Development Process**
 - 9.1. Should Moraga modify its Planned Development approval process so that the Town has more complete information when making initial approvals?
 - 9.2. Would a modified process provide the public adequate opportunities for comment?

NEXT STEPS

After a period of public review, this Background Report will be updated to reflect comments and additional information provided by the public. Prior to being finalized, this report will also be reviewed by members of the project Steering Committee and members of the Town Council. Feedback from these decision makers will also be incorporated into the final report. A key component of this process will be determining whether the questions presented above accurately reflect the most important issues and community priorities relating to Moraga's hillsides and ridgelines. The questions listed above are only an initial list and may undergo notable changes in response to feedback from the public and decision makers.

Following publication of this Draft Background Report, there will be a public workshop and a series of meetings to receive feedback on the report. The public workshop will solicit in-person public comment on the Draft Background Report, and Open Town Hall will enable the public to provide additional online comment. The workshop will be followed by a meeting of the project Steering Committee. At this meeting, members of the Steering Committee will have an opportunity to engage in discussion and provide additional feedback, bearing in mind the comments provided by members of the public at the preceding workshop and through Open Town Hall. Finally, a joint Town Council/Planning Commission meeting will be held to review the Background Report and provide direction for the upcoming phases of the Hillsides and Ridgelines Project.

Planned Process

Following finalization of the Background Report:

- The project Steering Committee, Planning Commission, and Town Council will determine what questions to address as the Hillsides and Ridgelines Project moves forward.
- Town staff and the project team will identify and present options for addressing these questions.
- Decision makers will pick the best options for addressing the questions, and preliminary revisions to existing policies and regulations will be prepared to reflect these options.
- The Town Council and Planning Commission will undertake the process to review and adopt revised policies and regulations.

This page has been intentionally left blank