

**TOWN OF MORAGA
REGULAR MEETING**

**April 13, 2016
MINUTES**

7:00 P.M. Regular Meeting

Council Chambers and Community Meeting Room
335 Rheem Boulevard, Moraga, California 94556

1. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting was called to order at 7:01 P.M. by **Mayor Michael Metcalf**.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Mayor Michael Metcalf, Vice Mayor Dave Trotter, and Councilmembers Phil Arth, Teresa Onoda and Roger Wykle

Councilmembers absent: None

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Councilmember Arth led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no special announcements.

4. PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

- A.** Presentation of Certificates of Recognition to the 2016 Winners of the Lamorinda Arts Council Visual Arts Competition

Councilmember Onoda reported that of the seven high schools in the Lamorinda area, six had participated in the Lamorinda Arts Council Visual Arts Competition; of the fourteen competitions, Moraga students received eight of the awards; and all student participants would be honored at Saint Mary's College (SMC) Museum of Art on April 24 from 1:00 to 3:00 p.m., with the winners to have their work shown at that time. The event would be open to all families and friends.

Mayor Metcalf read into the record the Certificates of Recognition to the 2016 Winners of the Lamorinda Arts Council Visual Arts Competition and presented the certificates to Moraga students Madeleine Taylor, Adia Tajima, Gabrielle Thompson, Jamie Brown, Allyson Ikeya (not present), Alicia Long, and Nora Guergah (not present).

Councilmember Onoda described the art piece designed by Nora Guergah who had been recognized as the First Place Winner for the 3D Awards and who had also been awarded Best in Show.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

The Town Council congratulated all the student participants.

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

Graig Crossley, Moraga, representing the Hacienda Foundation, announced that the Centennial Celebration for the Hacienda had been scheduled for Sunday, May 15, 2016 from 12:00 to 5:00 p.m. The event was free to the public and everyone was invited to attend.

Ellen Beans, Moraga, announced the 10th Community Faire to be held on May 14, 2016 at the Rheem Shopping Center with 70 vendors, a car show, 34 light pole banners sponsored by local businesses to be placed throughout the main thoroughfares of the Town, and a large water tank sponsored by the Saklan School. The SMC Gaels Jazz Band would open the fair at 11:00 a.m. and a Chinese Dance Group would close the event. Organizers would work with the Moraga Police Department to ensure access to the event given the detour as a result of the sinkhole at Rheem Boulevard.

Interim Town Manager Robert Priebe reported that all of the portable tanks that PG&E had been using at the sinkhole site would be removed prior to the date of the faire and the Police Department would work with the event organizers to facilitate any necessary detours.

6. ADOPTION OF CONSENT AGENDA

Consent Item 6.5 was removed from the Consent Agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

ACTION: It was M/S (Arth/Onoda) to adopt Consent Agenda Items 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 (Wykle abstained due to absence), 6.4, 6.6, and 6.7. Vote: 5-0.

- | | | |
|-----|--|----------|
| 6.1 | Accounts Payable Claims for: 3/18/16 (\$239,231.63);
4/1/16 (\$206,761.22); 4/1/16 (\$126.17) | Approved |
| 6.2 | Approve Minutes for the Special and Regular Town Council Meetings on February 10, 2016 | Approved |
| 6.3 | Approve Minutes for the Special Town Council Meeting on February 17, 2016 | Approved |
| 6.4 | Approve Minutes for the Special Joint Lamorinda Town and City Council Meeting on February 29, 2016 | Approved |
| 6.5 | <i>Continuation of Local Emergency Due to Storm Damage
Consider Motion to Renew and Continue the Local Emergency Due to El Niño Storm Damage Pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 22050 and as Proclaimed by the Director of Emergency Services on March 14, 2016 and Ratified by Town Council on March 16, 2016</i> | Removed |

- 6.6 Moraga Library Restrooms Improvements Project Completion Approved
Consider Acceptance of the Moraga Library Restrooms Improvements Project (CIP No. 15-301) and Authorize the Interim Town Manager to File the Certificate of Completion with the County
- 6.7 Camino Ricardo Development Agreement Annual Review Approved
Receive and Accept Annual Review of the Camino Ricardo (Subdivision 9321) Development Agreement Determining Good Faith Compliance by the Developer with the Terms of the Agreement

B. Consideration of Consent Items Removed for Discussion

1. Continuation of Local Emergency Due to Storm Damage
Consider Motion to Renew and Continue the Local Emergency Due to El Niño Storm Damage Pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 22050 and as Proclaimed by the Director of Emergency Services on March 14, 2016 and Ratified by Town Council on March 16, 2016

Vice Mayor Trotter stated he had removed the item given his opinion that a resolution for the item should have been included in the Council packet for consideration. He had spoken with the Town Attorney on this matter and suggested Attachment B, Resolution 24-2016 Ratifying the Director of Emergency Services Proclamation of the Existence of a Local Emergency could be modified.

Assistant Town Attorney Karen Murphy advised that she had reviewed Attachment B and recommended the following modifications:

- Remove the number for Resolution 24-2016, to be replaced with a new number to be inserted by the Town Clerk;
- Declare the emergency under the Government Code, Moraga Municipal Code (MMC), and Public Contract Code;
- Revise the Title to read: *Continuing the Director of Emergency Services Proclamation of the Existence of a Local Emergency as Ratified, Confirmed and Declared by the Town Council*;
- Revise the fourth WHEREAS clause to read: *WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2.48.060(A)(1) of the MMC, the Town Council ratified this proclamation by resolution on March 16, 2016*;
- Add a new WHEREAS clause to read: *WHEREAS, the Town Council also adopted a resolution declaring an emergency pursuant to Public Contract Code 22050 on March 16, 2016*;
- Revise the last WHEREAS clause to read: *WHEREAS, The Town Council does hereby find that the above described conditions of extreme peril continue to warrant and necessitate the proclamation of the existence of a local emergency throughout the Town; and*

- Revise the operative provisions of the resolution to read:

The local emergency ratified and confirmed by the Town Council on March 16, 2016 and declared by the Town Council pursuant to Public Contract Code 22050 on March 16, 2016 shall be deemed to continue to exist until its termination is proclaimed by the Town Council of the Town of Moraga.

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Metcalf) to adopt Resolution 30-2016, Consider Motion to Renew and Continue the Local Emergency Due to El Niño Storm Damage Pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 22050 and as Proclaimed by the Director of Emergency Services on March 14, 2016 and Ratified by the Town Council on March 16, 2016; subject to the revisions to Attachment B, as read into the record by the Assistant Town Attorney in the format attached to the staff report and consistent with the agenda and the documents in the staff report. Vote: 5-0.

7. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

ACTION: It was M/S (Wykle/Onoda) to adopt the Meeting Agenda, as shown. Vote: 5-0.

8. REPORTS

A. Mayor's and Councilmembers' Reports

Mayor Metcalf – Reported that he had attended a weekly Southwest Area Transportation Committee (SWAT) meeting for the continued review of the proposed Measure J reauthorization work program and the Mayors' Conference on April 7. He commended staff for all of their hard work on the event.

Vice Mayor Trotter – Reported that he had attended and chaired the regular monthly meeting of Recycle Smart Board of Directors on March 24; the Chamber of Commerce Business meeting on March 25; Special Town Council meeting for Interviews for Committee Appointments on March 30; and the Mayors' Conference on April 7.

Councilmember Arth – Reported that he had attended a Chamber of Commerce Business meeting on March 25; participated in Coffee with a Cop at Si Si Café on March 28; attended a Special Town Council meeting for Interviews for Committee Appointments on March 30; and a Chamber of Commerce Board meeting on April 6.

Councilmember Onoda – Reported that she had attended the Town and Gown Breakfast at SMC on April 1; Mayors' Conference on April 7; along with Councilmember Arth had met with the Moraga Chamber of Commerce, a representative from SMC, and the new owner of the Rheem Shopping Center on April 8; attended a San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR) event in the City of Oakland on April 11; and a reception at SMC for new parents on April 12.

Councilmember Wykle – No report.

- B.** Town Manager Update – Interim Town Manager Priebe reminded everyone of the 10th Annual Moraga Triathlon to be held on Saturday, April 23 and noted that volunteers were still being sought. He encouraged interested volunteers to contact the Parks and Recreation Department. He also reported that a formal Emergency Operations Center (EOC) debriefing had been held on Monday, April 11 with all participants who had responded to the sinkhole emergency at Rheem Boulevard. Immediately after that meeting, all those who would be involved in a full EOC call-out had participated in determining the actual layout needed for the Council Chambers along with the equipment needed to ensure the Town was better prepared in the event of another emergency. Staff continued to be responsive to the concerns of the business community in relation to the sinkhole. The wording on the Town's electronic community information sign had been modified to provide information on the Rheem Boulevard detour and new signs had been ordered to advise the public that all area businesses in the vicinity of the sinkhole were open. Town staff also continued to work with the State and federal governments related to emergency financial assistance, with information on the status of the sinkhole repairs to be posted in the About Town newsletter.

9. DISCUSSION ITEMS

There were no discussion items.

10. PUBLIC HEARINGS

There were no public hearings.

11. ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR ACTION

A. Street Lighting Annexation

Consider Resolution 31-2016 Initiating Proceedings for the Annexation of Territory to Assessment District 1979-1, Town of Moraga Street Lighting Annexation 2016-1, Hardie Drive

Public Works Director/Town Engineer Edric Kwan presented the staff report and recommended that the Town Council adopt a resolution initiating proceedings for the annexation of territory to Assessment District 1979-1, Town of Moraga Street Lighting Annexation 2016-1, Hardie Drive.

Randy Leptien, Leptien, Cronin, Cooper, Morris & Poore, Inc., advised that the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) had not been involved in the annexation, although County governmental agencies had been provided a courtesy notice of said action.

Mr. Kwan reported that the estimated cost to add the two street lights along a portion of Hardie Drive would be low since it involved a luminaire attached to an existing wooden pole; it would cost \$300 for both lights and the cost would be borne by the six homeowners who had requested the street lights. The Town had received a check from the homeowners for the cost of the lights as part of the application request.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

ACTION: It was M/S (Arth/Onoda) to adopt Resolution 31-2016 Initiating Proceedings for the Annexation of Territory to Assessment District 1979-1, Town of Moraga Street Lighting, Annexation 2016-1, Hardie Drive. Vote: 5-0.

B. Livable Moraga Road Survey Results

Receive Results of Community-Wide Survey Regarding Options for the Livable Moraga Road Project Segment 3 (Donald Drive) and Provide Direction to Staff

Planning Director Ellen Clark presented the staff report and reported that the Town Council would be provided with the results of the community-wide survey regarding options for the Livable Moraga Road Project Segment 3 (Donald Drive). Following the presentation of the survey results, she asked that the Town Council provide direction to staff on a preferred configuration for Segment 3, with the Council's direction to be incorporated into the overall Livable Moraga Road Corridor Plan to be considered by the Town Council at a later meeting.

Charles Hester, Vice President, Godbe Research, provided a PowerPoint presentation on the 2015 Livable Moraga Road Project Segment 3 – Mail Survey of Households, dated March 2016. He thanked planning staff for their assistance on the project and those Councilmembers who had participated in the preparation of the survey. He provided a summary of the survey methodology, survey parameters and sample and weighting used; overview and research objectives; methodology overview; key findings; questions asked as part of the mail-in survey and responses received; focus group results; and detailed the key survey results for Options 1, 2, and 3. Based on the results, the preferred option was identified as Option 3. There was little support for Option 2.

In response to the Mayor, Mr. Hester outlined the responses to Survey Question #5, and acknowledged that, because of the limits of the survey, the question had not delineated between north and southbound traffic on Moraga Road between Campolindo Drive and St. Mary's Drive or variations in traffic at different times of day. He affirmed that survey questions had been asked about two-way turn lanes and two travel lanes north and south, and the likes and dislikes for each option. While important, the two travel lanes north and south and additional bicycle pedestrian features in many of the options were more important to respondents than a center turning lane.

Ms. Clark added that the inclusion of the center turn lane was a feature that people liked, but ultimately did not outweigh the desire to maintain two through lanes in either direction.

Mr. Hester reiterated that based on the three options, the existing conditions, and the individual features of the options, some features were more important to residents than the center turn lane.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Brenda Luster, Moraga, asked whether or not there would be any features to warn drivers of the anticipated pedestrian and bicycle traffic coming in and out of Draeger Drive onto Moraga Road.

Ms. Clark explained there were currently parking restrictions to prevent parking on the corner in order to retain sight lines. She understood the importance of safely turning onto the main thoroughfare.

Ellen Beans, Moraga, asked whether Option 3 would define the travel lanes from the pedestrian and bicycle pathways to ensure safety for all, to which Ms. Clark advised that the options could include delineators in Option 2, such as a plastic "safe-hit post." She acknowledged they would

have to clearly distinguish the areas for pedestrians and bicyclists versus vehicles, which could be accomplished with the creation of a wider buffer lane between the pedestrian path and the travel lane, or the creation of a physical separation such as a berm. She cited the Orinda pedestrian paths near the high school where there was a raised curb to delineate the pedestrian path, which could be considered in this case.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

Councilmember Wykle verified with Mr. Hester the focus groups' preference for Option 1, which corresponded to Option B, then Option 2 and Option 3, in that order. The majority of the mail survey respondents preferred Option 3. He commented that there were not two travel lanes southbound; one was a turning lane and one turned into Corliss Drive. Given the traffic patterns along that route, he was surprised there were not more traffic accidents in that area.

Mr. Hester noted the likes and dislikes of the individual features had been tested for the three options. He had been surprised, based on the focus groups, that the center turn lane, while important, had not been the respondents' main preference. In the spirit of looking at safety and convenience for everyone, and including the amenities and options, the mail-in survey had provided a similar range of options as was provided for the focus groups, and other public outreach and meetings that had been conducted.

Vice Mayor Trotter commented that he and Councilmember Wykle had served on a subcommittee that had assisted the consultant in preparing the draft mail-in survey. He found the questions in the draft to be fair, although he had some reservations such as the original introductions to Options 1, 2 and 3, which had been referenced as short-term options that he found to be misleading. He clarified with Mr. Hester the options had been phrased in the survey as just options for the purposes of the survey, since the survey did not have the space to provide more information. He pointed out that the respondents had not seen the options as short term, but potential options, and the original draft of the survey questionnaire had left out the existing condition of the roadway.

Mr. Hester agreed that not including existing conditions was an obvious omission. He commented on his understanding of the options under discussion that respondents preferred that something would be done as opposed to maintaining existing conditions.

Vice Mayor Trotter commended the consultant on the ultimate design of the survey and was pleased by the number of respondents, almost one fifth of the Town, with the responses received during the holiday period. Given the results, he suggested that Option 3 was worth pursuing. He recognized there was also consensus in the community to retain two travel lanes in each direction, which he supported as well. He questioned whether the design of Segment 3 northbound of the Hacienda would allow a left turn lane into the Hacienda if there was a demonstrated need.

Ms. Clark commented on the progression of the project since it had last been considered by the Town Council almost a year ago. With the redesign of the Hacienda and the need to accommodate a different entry point, one of the considerations given to the consultants was to provide a turning lane in the design, as well as a four-lane configuration if that was to be a primary access to the Hacienda where a turn lane would be required.

When asked by the Vice Mayor, Ms. Clark affirmed the Town owned about 85 feet of right-of-way (ROW), including sufficient area to allow for a turn lane, assuming there were no physical constraints. The ROW would likely not be the constraint as much as topography and the adjacent creek which could prove to be a challenge.

Councilmember Arth complimented the consultant on the mail-in survey.

Councilmember Onoda suggested that Option 3 did not allow sufficient room for Campolindo High School's Track Team to safely run along the roadway; it would also not provide a turning lane into the Hacienda, a concern given the plans to upgrade the Hacienda. She understood the respondents' desire to balance the needs of pedestrians and bicyclists, although she suggested that Option 3 would be unsafe. She also preferred no parking along the route which would allow eight more feet for Option 3. She could support Option 3 if it included a turn lane to the Hacienda and no parking, although since that was not part of the option, she would have to support Option 1 at this time.

Mayor Metcalf had originally not supported the survey given the long and protracted outreach effort as part of a committee, which meetings had been well attended and involved people who did not live near but used Moraga Road on a regular basis. He was intrigued that the focus groups concluded that Option 1 was the preferred alternative, as opposed to Option 3. He inquired of the status of the focus groups discussions as to whether to have a southbound lane or a turn lane, and asked the consultant to opine on the background of the focus groups on that issue.

Mr. Hester stated he could not provide a sense of the exact thoughts of the focus groups which was not a quantifiable process, although that seemed to be an outcome of the discussion.

Mayor Metcalf commented that he had spoken to a number of people who had found the survey to be confusing and complex. He noted that the configuration of the focus groups allowed people to talk to one another and provide opinions, which was not possible with a mail-in survey, and suggested the differences between the focus groups and the survey was that the mail-in survey did not allow people as much opportunity to think about the issues.

Mr. Hester acknowledged that between question one of the survey and the last substantive question in the survey, 50 people had been lost, which was within the margin of error of two tenths of a percent.

Mayor Metcalf suggested that two lanes southbound was not needed as it was the shortest segment at half a mile in all of Moraga Road. While it was hoped there could be a fair amount of traffic into the Hacienda in the future, in his opinion Option 3 would result in an unsafe configuration. He suggested that Option 1 provided the left turn opportunity, and for southbound traffic the opportunity for a left turn across two lanes of oncoming traffic. Given that traffic in town would not increase much beyond what it was now in the near future, or until buildout of the Town, he suggested Option 1 would allow the pavement to be used more efficiently and be safer for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles in both directions, while also keeping the Hacienda in mind, which was not part of Option 3. He supported the work of the focus groups over the past year which had concluded that Option 1 was the best alternative.

Vice Mayor Trotter pointed out as part of Option 3 the Campolindo High School Track Team could cross the street and at some point farther up get into the pedestrian path and run on the east side all the way to the Commons Park and then cross at the green light. He explained that when the Town had been surveyed, there had been a strong response to keep two travel lanes in both directions. Given the excellent response rate from the survey, he suggested the Town Council should take the survey results seriously and consider that Option 3 was the best choice for now.

Councilmember Arth agreed with the Vice Mayor, commented that the Town Council should have high regard for the opinions of the people who completed the surveys and questioned

incurring the expense and exercise of doing the survey if the Town Council was not going to pay attention to the results.

Councilmember Wykle suggested that any of the options would represent an improvement over the existing conditions. As to the potential future new entry for the Hacienda, he clarified with the Interim Town Manager that the Town was a long way away from conclusion with a lot of work yet to be done. He commented that when Rheem Boulevard had been proposed to be restriped, there had been a great deal of public outcry, but the changes had proved unproblematic. He was amazed that people liked Option 3, and while he would like to honor and respect those who had responded to the survey, he preferred Option 1 as a much safer configuration for all involved.

Ms. Clark reiterated the request for the Town Council to provide direction on the Livable Moraga Road Survey results to the consultants, which would allow the consultants to incorporate the option preferred by the Town Council. She noted that the project had been put on hold in January 2015 when the Town Council had decided to conduct the community survey, and since the consultants had presented the preferred concept at that point, including a recommendation for now Option 1, had direction to conduct more survey work. The Town Council had not yet endorsed the entire corridor plan and the consultant still needed to do the design and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) work. She urged definitive direction from the Town Council.

Ms. Clark added that when the project had last been presented to the Town Council in January 2015, there had been discussions that this was just paint and not major new curb, gutter, and new facilities, and restoring a four-lane configuration was a relatively simple thing to do. She affirmed the Vice Mayor's understanding regarding the projection of a reduction of the level of service (LOS) in the year 2024 from an LOS of C to an LOS D with a reduced capacity, and suggested it would be a relatively simple thing to restripe the lane to a through lane if it became an undesirable congestion issue in the future.

Vice Mayor Trotter suggested if the Town Council proceeded with Option 1, it would not be listening to its constituents.

Councilmember Wykle suggested the Town Council had listened to the focus groups constituents who had a more open dialogue on the options.

Vice Mayor Trotter emphasized the focus groups represented a small sample of constituents.

Vice Mayor Trotter stated there would be a public outcry if the Town decided to remove a travel lane southbound.

Mayor Metcalf asked for a straw poll vote for either Option 1 or 3, or a third alternative.

Based on a straw poll vote, **Councilmembers Onoda, Wykle and Mayor Metcalf** supported Option 1. **Vice Mayor Trotter** and **Councilmember Arth** supported Option 3.

<p>ACTION: It was M/S (Metcalf/Onoda) to direct staff to proceed with Option 1 for the Livable Moraga Road Project Segment 3 (Donald Drive to Corliss Drive). Vote: 3-2. Noes: Arth, Trotter.</p>
--

C. Establishment of a Community Court

Consider Adopting Resolution 32-2016 Authorizing the Interim Town Manager to Sign a Memorandum of Understanding with the Contra Costa County District Attorney for the Establishment of, and Participation in, a Lamorinda Community Court

Acting Chief of Police Jon King presented the staff report and asked that the Town Council adopt a resolution authorizing the Interim Town Manager to sign a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Contra Costa County District Attorney for the establishment of, and participation in, a Lamorinda Community Court. He affirmed that Town staff had been working with staff in the cities of Lafayette and Orinda who were planning to participate in the Lamorinda Community Court; the cities of Pittsburg, Concord, and Walnut Creek already operated community courts; and the cities of Martinez and San Ramon were due to have community courts come online.

Interim Town Manager Priebe also affirmed that SMC Public Safety was aware of the MOU. He described a case in which the Moraga Police Department had received a telephone call from a parent whose SMC student had been arrested for being drunk in public and who had requested the opportunity for something like the Community Court. This case is one that would be referred to the Community Court.

Acting Chief King reported that the Police Department had five to six cases they were holding in anticipation of action by the Town Council.

Interim Town Manager Priebe added that participation in the system would be completely voluntary and the defendant could opt out at any time.

Acting Chief King explained that the Community Court system allowed one to go into the program, have the matter adjudicated and not have a conviction on their record.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Arth) to adopt Resolution 32-2016 Authorizing the Interim Town Manager to Sign a Memorandum of Understanding with the Contra Costa County District Attorney for the Establishment of, and Participation in, a Lamorinda Community Court. Vote: 5-0.

12. COUNCIL REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Vice Mayor Trotter referenced an e-mail received this date from Natasha Grasso regarding the impacts of the sinkhole on the businesses in the Rheem Shopping Center. Given the number of constructive ideas offered to help those business owners, he asked that the request from Ms. Grasso be agendized for a future agenda.

Interim Town Manager Priebe advised he had responded to Ms. Grasso's e-mail, had informed her of the Town's efforts with the Chamber of Commerce, and the fact Town staff was listening to the concerns of the community and had plans for changes in signage. As to whether the request should be agendized for a future meeting, he did not see there was time given the request for immediate action.

Vice Mayor Trotter asked that the Interim Town Manager indicate to Ms. Grasso the willingness of the Town Council to agendize the request, with a report back to the Town Council on any response.

Interim Town Manager Priebe reported that there would be heavy agendas for the next two Town Council meetings and Ms. Grasso's request could take some time. He would forward the Town Council's comments to Ms. Grasso.

13. COMMUNICATIONS

There were no communications.

14. ADJOURNMENT

ACTION: It was M/S (Wykle/Arth) to adjourn the meeting at 9:05 P.M. Vote: 5-0.

Respectfully submitted by:


Marty C. McInturf, Town Clerk

Approved by the Town Council:


Michael Metcalf, Mayor