

**TOWN OF MORAGA
TOWN COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING
TOWN GOALS WORKSHOP**

**January 14, 2014
MINUTES**

6:30 P.M. Special Meeting

Hacienda de los Flores, La Sala Building
2100 Donald Drive, Moraga, California 94556

I. CALL TO ORDER

The special meeting was called to order at 6:35 P.M. by **Mayor Ken Chew**.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Mayor Ken Chew, Vice Mayor Roger Wykle, and Councilmembers Phil Arth, Michael Metcalf, and Dave Trotter

Councilmembers absent: None

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Vice Mayor Wykle led the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

ACTION: It was M/S (Wykle/Arth) to adopt the Meeting Agenda, as shown. Vote: 5-0.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no comments from the public.

V. DISCUSSION AND PRIORITIZATION OF TOWN OF MORAGA GOALS

Town Manager Jill Keimach described the purpose of the Town Council Goal Setting Workshop to ensure the goals matched what the community desired. She reported that the Town was in good financial condition and was stable with an increase in projected revenues that was better than previous years. She was cautiously optimistic about the Town's financial future and positive about the Town's dedicated staff, reporting that all vacancies in various Town Departments had been filled with the exception of one recent vacancy in the Police Department.

Ms. Keimach presented a PowerPoint presentation to identify the top ten accomplishments of the Town of Moraga for 2013 and for each Town Department. She also identified Mayor Chew's proposed Goals for 2014 including:

1. Maintain Excellence in Stewardship;
2. Maximize Existing Resources for Enhanced Community Use Through Partnerships and Agreements;
3. Celebrate the Town's 40th Anniversary around the Pear and Wine Festival; and
4. Enable the Organization to Respond to Opportunities as they Arise

Ms. Keimach identified the proposed objectives and projects corresponding to each of the Mayor's proposed Goals including three issues where public input had been sought, which included the removal of assistance for The New Rheem Theatre until requested; pausing adoption of a Historic Preservation Ordinance; and formalizing or restricting the consideration of Conceptual and General Development Plan applications concurrently.

Mayor Chew welcomed public comment.

Leonard Pyrkle, operator of The New Rheem and Orinda Theatres, spoke to the successful re-opening of the Park Theatre in Lafayette, suggesting the success of The New Rheem Theatre could be accomplished by creating a community non-profit. He urged consideration for the creation of a non-profit, and supported the deferral of any action to adopt a Historic Preservation Ordinance given that he was in the process of negotiating with the landlord for a five-year extension. Until there was a commitment from the landlord, he explained there was nothing the Town Council could do to influence those negotiations.

Responding to the Council, Mr. Pyrkle stated he was unsure what the property owner wanted financially for The New Rheem Theatre since it was tied to existing businesses/restaurants. He spoke to the background of the Park Theatre in Lafayette, which had been closed for seven years, commenting that the longer a theatre was closed the more difficult it would be to re-open. He reported that an internal lift was under consideration given the County's rejection of the outside elevator design. He acknowledged the tremendous community support to make an impossible situation more palatable.

Roger Poynts, Moraga, suggested the solution for The New Rheem Theatre was simply making the building a condominium for the property owner who would then be able to derive income from the other small businesses, and work out a deal that would be fiscally acceptable to the landlord who could derive income from his property for future years, with the theatre set up as either a non-profit or other arrangement.

Dale Walwark, Moraga, commented on his understanding that an Emergency Operations Center (EOC) would now be located at 331 Rheem Boulevard, but understood that 329 Rheem Boulevard had been extensively remodeled to house the EOC, which had delayed the occupancy of that building.

Ms. Keimach explained that a cost-benefit analysis had been prepared to consider remodeling 329 Rheem Boulevard up to Essential Services Standards. Because the building code required 150 percent of the Building Code seismic improvements, the upgrade had been quite expensive. When the issue had been brought to the Town Council, the Council had considered alternatives since the Town did not have the funds required for those improvements. The Town Offices had been upgraded minimally at an approximate cost of \$250,000 versus \$1.8 million.

Chief of Police Robert Priebe also spoke to the concept of the EOC, which initially had been a single inadequate office in the Police Department. He explained that the EOC had to be built to a size to accommodate the Police Department subject to required codes.

Responding to **Councilmember Trotter**, Ms. Keimach clarified that the Town Council had already discussed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Moraga-Orinda Fire District (MOFD) to use Fire Station No. 42 as a backup EOC to 331 Rheem Boulevard, and that a draft MOU with the MOFD would come back for Town Council consideration at its next meeting.

Responding to the Council, Planning Director Shawna Brekke-Read provided an update on the status of Palos Colorados. She reported that inquiries had been received from the developer,

and she and the Parks and Recreation Director had met with the developer to discuss trails and various permits including the Grading Permit and the Final Map.

Mr. Walwark expressed concern with the deteriorated condition of the Moraga Ranch property. He requested that something be done to upgrade the property, and understood the Moraga Center Specific Plan (MCSP) addressed the future development of the property.

Ms. Brekke-Read affirmed that the Town had adopted the MCSP; staff had processed development applications, but had not received any development applications for Moraga Ranch. She understood the property had been historically used as a storage yard.

Ms. Keimach added that the zoning of the property had been reviewed years ago with nothing found of note. There was a Nuisance Ordinance the Planning Commission would consider in the future allowing possible recourse through Code Enforcement.

Assistant Town Attorney Karen Murphy concurred that Nuisance Ordinances typically outlined any nuisances the Town may abate with various scenarios the Town Council may consider a nuisance, and what could be abated and remedied through that process.

Councilmember Trotter noted that the Town had a Nuisance Abatement Ordinance which had been used in the past to abate property, and suggested there could be a basis under the existing Ordinance to address the concerns with the condition of the Moraga Ranch property.

Councilmember Metcalf clarified that this issue occurred in a number of areas around town, and that the nuisance abatement should not be directed to one property owner.

Mayor Chew requested the nuisance abatement be added as a Town Council Goal for 2014.

Kathe Nelson, Moraga Chamber of Commerce, asked that the Town Council consider a partnership with the Chamber to complete the *Shop Moraga First* campaign, which had been launched by the Chamber, and embraced as part of the Measure K campaign. To complete the campaign, the Chamber wanted to visit individual households to make everyone aware of the benefits of the website. The Chamber requested that the Town partner with the Chamber in the amount of \$3,500 to be used to complete the campaign via advertising in the Lamorinda Weekly, and some direct home mailings, with a desire to launch the campaign to the Town's individual households in May and June.

Edy Schwartz, Moraga, stated the Moraga Chamber of Commerce had previously absorbed those costs. She asked that the Town Council consider the Chamber's request.

Ms. Keimach affirmed that if the Council wished, the request from the Chamber could be made a Town Council Goal. The amount requested would be under the Town Manager's signing authority and if there was clear direction stated in the goal, and if the Town Manager's Contingency Fund had adequate funds, the request could be acted upon immediately.

Ms. Murphy clarified the request had not been agendaized and would have to return for Town Council action at a future meeting.

Frank Melon, General Manager of the Moraga Country Club, commented that he had enjoyed working with Town staff and the Moraga Police Department (MPD) but referenced an increase in residential burglaries. He sought consideration to increase police staffing levels with a way to retain existing police, to be discussed during the budget discussions and as a possible Town Council Goal for 2014.

Chief Priebe explained that it had been difficult to retain permanent staff, commenting on the amount of overtime police staff must expend to complete minimum staffing levels. He acknowledged the limited police staffing levels and the inability for the MPD to compete with other jurisdictions, and agreed the only way to address the concerns would be to add more personnel. He added that the MPD was currently authorized for twelve officers.

Responding to the Council, Ms. Keimach stated that Town staff had not approached Saint Mary's College (SMC) regarding the possibility of SMC funding a 13th MPD officer. SMC had its own security force, and from its perspective, it relied on the MPD to back up SMC staff. Chief Priebe did not believe that was the right path for any other segment of the community to fund the MPD since public safety was a function of every citizen in the Town.

Mayor Chew sought a long-term solution to address the MPD staffing levels, and suggested if the Town's citizens were willing to support a tax for Town roads, it may be time to consider a parcel tax to support public safety, a discussion the Town Council should seriously consider.

Chief Priebe emphasized that in order to meet the goals of the operation of the MPD, maintain minimum staffing levels without burdening personnel, and meet all State mandates, it was likely a Department of at least 16 officers would be needed to serve the Town's population allowing for three officers per shift. As to the status of the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) program and the ability of the Town to secure a 13th officer, he noted the Town automatically received the COPS funds each year, which were initially part of a grant intended to supplement, not supplant, existing budgets. Now, the \$100,000 in COPS funds could be used for anything the Department needed. He noted the Department had only been at 13 officers once, with that position lost due to the budget, a situation the Department faced every year. He recommended an expanded discussion on the issue with the public which was unlikely to be achieved in the next budget cycle.

Mayor Chew suggested starting a conversation for a long-term solution to public safety and staffing the MPD, to be identified as another Town Council Goal for 2014.

Ms. Schwartz also spoke to the recent number of residential burglaries in the Town and agreed with the Mayor's recommendation to discuss a long-term solution. In the meantime, she recommended as a short-term goal, that advertising be provided in the local newspaper or the Lamorinda Weekly to remind residents to lock their doors.

Susan Sperry, Moraga Historical Society, asked the Town Council to consider a partnership with the Historical Society for its annual October Banquet to celebrate the Town's 40th Anniversary.

Parks and Recreation Director Jay Ingram referenced past Town Council discussions of the Town's 40th Anniversary celebrations as part of the Pear and Wine Festival and the Historical Society's Annual Banquet, to be held October 18, 2014, advising that the current Planning Committee had been discussing those issues.

Councilmember Trotter recommended that Goal #3, Celebrate the Town's 40th Anniversary around the Pear and Wine Festival, be modified to add the language and Moraga's Historical Society Annual Banquet in October 2014. He emphasized that this would be a community-organized event, not a Town event.

Ms. Keimach suggested the goal could also be discussed as part of the budget discussions, and noted her interest to have local service organizations carry out the community dinner with Town non-financial assistance, with the Town's focus to enhance the Pear and Wine Festival.

Scott Bowhay, Moraga, a member of the Hacienda Foundation, reminded the Council the Hacienda Foundation would be sponsoring the annual Oktoberfest with the Town and would be open to partnering with other groups to improve that event.

Ms. Keimach asked for Council input on the removal of assistance for The New Rheem Theatre until requested and limit or pause adoption of a Historic Preservation Ordinance.

Mayor Chew supported pausing the adoption of a Historic Preservation Ordinance given that the property owner supported such action.

Councilmember Trotter noted that Mr. Pyrkle had stated he was not interested in designating The New Rheem Theatre as a historical landmark at this time, which he would like to honor and respect. He suggested the Town Council should continue to pursue a Historic Preservation Ordinance in the event it had a request from The New Rheem Theatre for assistance.

Councilmember Arth supported a Historic Preservation Ordinance that also required the approval of the property owner, and did not support the imposition of such an ordinance on a property owner which was essentially a taking absent fair compensation.

Councilmember Metcalf was uncertain concurrence from a property owner was needed for an ordinance and he would like to leave that open. The Town had an obligation to its citizens to prohibit a property owner from doing something that was not in the public's interest. He wanted to proceed with the ordinance based on that understanding and recalled the Town Council had previously directed staff to proceed along that direction.

Ms. Murphy stated they were discussing moving forward based on some of the conversations with The New Rheem Theatre, with staff to respond.

Ms. Keimach commented that in speaking with the theatre operators and the public, there was concern the Town was linking the two issues of concern and that the Town may move forward with a Historic Preservation Ordinance absent concurrence from the property owner, which the property owner and the operator did not want to happen.

Ms. Murphy commented that in the event the Town Council decided to move forward to consider a Historic Preservation Ordinance, it would be considered by the Planning Commission first and then on to the Town Council, which could be done within the next couple of months.

Vice Mayor Wykle supported moving forward to consider a Historic Preservation Ordinance, suggesting it would help the Hacienda and historic properties in Town although he was uncertain there was a rush to do so. He thought a summer timeframe may be more appropriate.

Councilmember Metcalf questioned coupling a procedural ordinance with a substantive ordinance. He understood the Council was speaking only of a procedural ordinance and he was focused on the Hacienda, suggesting there were good reasons to designate the Hacienda as historic. In his opinion, it would be prudent to move forward with such an ordinance, recognized it was unknown how long it would take the landlord and theatre operator to reach an agreement, and emphasized the importance of addressing the Hacienda.

Councilmember Arth agreed that the Hacienda would likely benefit from a historic designation, particularly since the property owner agreed to a historic designation.

Mayor Chew pointed out the Hacienda, a valuable Town asset, was not going anywhere with or without a Historic Preservation Ordinance. He suggested the effort was bigger than The New Rheem Theater, and whether or not the effort was paused for six months, he did not see it as an

urgent matter. He recognized the current work load for Town staff, and understood there was consensus to pause the effort at this time.

Councilmember Trotter agreed with Councilmember Metcalf's comments, noting that the assistance being requested from the theatre operator may include the potential historic designation of the property. He suggested that pausing the effort for six months was too long, and recommended that the issue return to the Town Council prior to July given the need for a Historic Preservation Ordinance to go through the Planning Commission process first.

Vice Mayor Wykle suggested that the Planning Commission process could be completed in an April timeframe, which would allow the matter to return to the Town Council in June. He did not want to cause unintended consequences if the Town Council were to force the issue.

Councilmember Trotter stated that he could support the Vice Mayor's recommendation to bring the matter to a Planning Commission meeting to consider a Historic Preservation Ordinance at its first meeting in April.

Mayor Chew wanted to see the property owner and theatre operator negotiate and resolve their issues, and supported a pause to the effort to consider a Historic Preservation Ordinance to allow those discussions to continue and be completed. He supported a six-month pause.

Ms. Schwartz advised that she had spoken with Mr. Pyrkle and Derek Zemrak, another operator of The New Rheem Theatre, and reported that both had stated to her that a general non-specific ordinance in the case of an emergency could be supported.

Mayor Chew reported that he had spoken with Mr. Zemrak who had informed him this was something he did not want at this time. He questioned the imposition of something that the property owner would not support, pointed out that any Councilmember may call up an item if there was a need, and again saw no urgency on the matter.

Councilmember Trotter suggested that the Vice Mayor's recommendation for a three-month pause had a lot of merit given the comments by Ms. Schwartz. He noted that timeframe would coincide with the expiration of the current lease between the property owner and the operator of The New Rheem Theatre, and suggested by April the Town should be able to provide direction to staff on this effort if assistance was requested.

Mayor Chew expressed concern that if negotiations were not resolved by April the Council could be faced with problems with the property owner.

Vice Mayor Wykle reiterated his position.

Councilmember Metcalf was not opposed to a three-month delay.

By consensus, the Council agreed to pause the effort for a Historic Preservation Ordinance, with staff to start the process and proceed to the Planning Commission in April.

Ms. Murphy clarified the consensus would be a goal of the Town Council with staff to start working on the process, with the matter to first be submitted to the Planning Commission, and which could be a future agenda item before the Town Council.

On the request to formalize a Town Council Goal to restrict consideration of Conceptual and General Development Plan applications concurrently, Ms. Keimach explained that the request had come from Suzanne Jones with Preserve Lamorinda Open Space (PLOS), as reflected in an e-mail dated January 13, 2014, copies of which had been provided to the Town Council and

the public. She detailed the recent Planning Commission consideration of the SummerHill Homes Camino Ricardo project, which had involved concurrent approval of a Conceptual Development Plan, (CDP), General Development Plan (GDP), Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map, Hillside Development Permit (HDP), Grading Permit, Conditional Use Permit (CUP) and Design Review. She noted that the Town of Moraga was the only community of which she was aware that had a three-step process for reviewing and approving planned developments; most had a two-step process.

Ms. Brekke-Read explained that the Planning Department had recommended the two-step process to SummerHill Homes for its Camino Ricardo Project, which had the option to move forward with approval of the entitlements at the same time. She concurred that Moraga was the only community she knew of that used the three-step process.

Councilmember Trotter commented that Ms. Jones' January 13, 2014 e-mail was accurate in terms of the way projects had been processed in Moraga, suggesting the three-step process had served the community well. Speaking to the process for the SummerHill Homes Camino Ricardo project, he understood the project had included a stack of approval documents which had overwhelmed some Planning Commissioners, and had potentially caused the risk of truncating public comment. He did not believe it was a good idea to consider both a CDP and a GDP on the same agenda.

Ms. Brekke-Read clarified that the Planning Commission did not hold a single meeting on the Camino Ricardo project. Application materials had been submitted in May 2012, continuous meetings had been held over the past 18- to 19-month period, a scoping session had been held at the Pavilion, and numerous meetings had been held by the Design Review Board (DRB) and the Planning Commission, as had community meetings by the developer. She also spoke to the seven-year effort that had involved tremendous community input to adopt the MCSP. The DRB, Planning Commission, and the community had been given an opportunity to review all information for the Camino Ricardo project at one time in a comprehensive fashion. Further, the Camino Ricardo project included an HDP, and the CDP and GDP had not been considered independent of the hillside regulations but in an intertwined fashion. She acknowledged that the plans for the SummerHill Homes Camino Ricardo project had evolved over time and had been changed prior to the December 16, 2013 Planning Commission meeting.

Ms. Keimach suggested that was one of the benefits of the process staff had followed which had allowed the developer to consider comments from the DRB, Planning Commission, and the public. She had been impressed with the volume and depth each Planning Commissioner had expended in evaluating the Camino Ricardo project. She noted that all of the money the developer spent as part of a longer process would be taken out of community amenities because there was only so much money available for a project. A 15-year project like Palos Colorados could not be developed, nor meet all its financial obligations owed to the Town, since much of that money had been spent on additional analysis and outreach.

Councilmember Trotter suggested that a short time gap between consideration of a CDP and a GDP allowed the necessary time and scrutiny to ensure there were no Vesting Tentative Map inconsistencies. He reiterated his opinion that tying everything into one process created a potential risk of a mistake or something not properly considered.

Vice Mayor Wykle agreed that having all of the information was good but recommended consideration of breaking up the approval of each entitlement for the public, staff, and the applicant, which he suggested would be beneficial for all parties involved.

Ms. Brekke-Read commented that applications were typically broken at the CDP and Certification of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) level. Currently, the Planning

Department was processing the GDP, Vesting Tentative Map, and HDP for the Rancho Laguna II project. While the applications could be split, she would not recommend such a process and suggested it would be a disservice to the public and may not provide all available information. She cited the pending Bollinger Valley project as an example where the applicant would be requesting a General Plan Amendment (GPA), rezoning, and CDP but the downside was when more information was requested, applicants only gave conceptual information with no details.

Vice Mayor Wykle did not agree it would be a disservice to split up the approvals if all of the information was made available.

Councilmember Metcalf suggested the meetings could be done piecemeal over several months but to digest something that was too large was unreasonable.

Ms. Brekke-Read emphasized that the community process for the Camino Ricardo project had been robust.

Ms. Keimach also did not recommend a longer three-step process in that once California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents had been approved based on the known information, any dramatic change could trigger re-certification which had occurred for other projects that involved conceptual review. She too emphasized that the Camino Ricardo project had not been considered in one meeting and had been done incrementally with everyone brought along with the same information.

Ms. Brekke-Read added that the Planning Commission had been presented with the conditions of approval for the Camino Ricardo project over two separate meetings, with a draft set of conditions presented on December 16, 2013. Responding to the Mayor, she advised that staff had received compliments on the thoroughness of their reports, had received no comments from the Planning Commission suggesting it was too much, and that once a CDP had been approved the project was entitled, tying one's hands for future approvals.

As to whether the Town Council would like to agendize the request from PLOS to formalize or restrict consideration of CDP and GDP applications concurrently for a future discussion allowing for public comment, **Councilmember Trotter** sought a discussion subject to the formal agenda process and public notification.

Councilmember Arth favored a process that staff deemed best fit a particular project; if there was a large project that needed to be split that could be done, and if it was more efficient to be done at one time he would support that as well. He did not support receiving information in a piecemeal fashion and would rather have the project provided in one piece.

Ms. Murphy suggested if the Town Council wished to discuss potential provisions as part of the Town Council Goals that could be discussed at a future Council meeting.

Responding to the Council, Ms. Brekke-Read affirmed that formalizing or restricting consideration of CDP and GDP applications concurrently would require changes to the Moraga Municipal Code (MMC). She affirmed that made more sense than setting a goal; to review appropriate modifications to the MMC with the Planning Commission which would make recommendations to the Town Council.

Councilmember Metcalf sought Planning Commission input on the request to formalize or restrict consideration of CDP and GDP applications concurrently, to be brought back to the Town Council for further discussion.

Mayor Chew affirmed the Town Council direction for the Planning Commission to provide input on the request to formalize or restrict consideration of CDP and GDP applications concurrently, to be brought back to the Town Council for further discussion, subject to the staff work load.

Mayor Chew again opened the floor to public comment to allow suggestions for other goals.

Councilmember Metcalf spoke to the belief in the community that the Hacienda was costing the Town \$250,000 annually and recommended that the Audit and Finance Committee (AFC) identify the operating and maintenance costs for Town parks and the Hacienda. He asked this be identified as another Town Council Goal for 2014.

Tim Freeman, a member of the AFC, advised that the AFC was in the process of reviewing the operating and maintenance costs for Town parks and the Hacienda in relation to replacement costs, with a schedule to be prepared in cooperation with the Administrative Services and Public Works Directors which would list Town assets, estimated usable life, and replacement costs.

Administrative Services Director Stephanie Hom explained that the current information for operating and maintenance costs for each Town facility had been based on educated guesses, and while the information could be tracked manually, it would be exhaustive. She noted that there were computerized ways to track the information and the Town also had a time card component as part of its financial system which may be used to glean information.

Councilmember Trotter would rather make a value judgment that the Hacienda, as an example, was a valuable resource and worthy of support as opposed to requiring staff to spend time and effort counting minutes, which was an unnecessary expense and a burden on staff.

Mr. Walwark identified himself as a former member of the Park and Recreation Commission in the 1990's. He sought information to identify whether the Town was making or losing money on the rental of Town-owned facilities.

Councilmember Arth agreed with Councilmember Trotter's comments.

Mr. Ingram noted that the Town budget identified parks and maintenance operating costs and open space costs, with \$262,000 having been identified for operating and maintenance costs for Rancho Laguna, Moraga Commons, and Mulholland Parks. He stated that the Hacienda had a different cost center.

Councilmember Metcalf reiterated his request and recommended that the AFC identify the operating and maintenance costs and review underlying data for Town parks, for the Hacienda, and for the Library, which he asked be identified as another Town Council Goal for 2014.

Mr. Freeman spoke to the approach taken by the AFC which had been to review asset replacement, not the yearly operating budget.

Councilmember Metcalf also spoke to the Asset Replacement Fund which had been depleted and which would be reviewed by the AFC Subcommittee. He suggested it would be ill advised to ignore the status of the Asset Replacement Fund.

Councilmember Trotter supported a course where new systems were not required to be put in place to track existing data, which would not take that long to bring back to the Town Council for consideration. He suggested possibly sunsetting the Traffic Safety Advisory Committee (TSAC) should be another Town Council Goal for 2014 given the inactive status of TSAC and the inability to achieve a full complement of members. He recommended that any traffic calming measures to be considered be brought to the Town Council based on the Traffic Calming Guide.

Councilmember Arth affirmed that TSAC had discussed a sunset of the Committee, agreed the sunset of the Committee should be considered, reported that the Committee had held only one meeting in 2013, there had been no action items, and no full complement of members, with the Committee attracting no interest from the public to serve.

Chief Priebe suggested there was an alternative way to handle traffic calming issues. He agreed that TSAC had outlived its purpose under the current format; there was a lack of community interest to serve on the community; and understood staff had been recruiting for TSAC with all five spots vacant. He suggested with the current expertise, ability, and experience in the Engineering and Police Departments, such staff had adequately made positive recommendations to the Town Council on issues of real importance regarding traffic calming. He suggested that the existing Traffic Calming Guide could use some revision, particularly if the committee format was changed, which could be done by staff.

By consensus, the Town Council agreed for a future meeting the possible sunset of TSAC.

Ms. Keimach summarized the additional Town Council Goals for 2014, as discussed:

- Partner with the Moraga Chamber of Commerce for *Shop Moraga First* in an amount in the range of \$3,500;
- Celebrate the Town's 40th Anniversary around the Pear and Wine Festival and the Moraga Historical Society Annual Community Banquet;
- Draft a Nuisance Abatement Ordinance to address blight and other violations throughout the Town;
- Discuss a long-term goal to analyze a revenue measure to add Police Officers and educational campaigns for public safety;
- Begin the process of a Historic Preservation Ordinance starting with the Planning Commission in April;
- Request input from the Planning Commission regarding the two or three-step planning process for applications;
- Work with the Audit and Finance Committee to analyze general revenue expenses for each park facility compared to and including the Hacienda; and
- Consider the sunset of TSAC.

Ms. Keimach advised that the list for Town Council Goals 2014 would be modified, as discussed, with staff to bring the Goals back for Town Council action as a Consent Agenda item for the first meeting of February.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Arth) to adjourn the meeting at 9:20 P.M. Vote: 5-0.

Respectfully submitted by:


Marty C. McInturf, Town Clerk

Approved by the Town Council:


Ken Chew, Mayor