

**TOWN OF MORAGA
TOWN COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING**

**May 12, 2010
MINUTES**

7:30 P.M. Regular Meeting

Joaquin Moraga Intermediate School Auditorium
1010 Camino Pablo, Moraga, California 94556

I. CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting was called to order at 7:37 P.M. by **Mayor Ken Chew**.

ROLL CALL

Councilmembers present: Mayor Ken Chew, Vice Mayor Karen Mendonca, and Councilmembers Howard Harpham, and Dave Trotter

Councilmembers absent: Councilmember Michael Metcalf

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Vice Mayor Mendonca led the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS

A. Proclamation Acknowledging May 16 - 22, 2010 as Public Works Week

Mayor Chew read the proclamation acknowledging May 16 - 22, 2010 as Public Works Week. He invited everyone to attend the Public Works Open House for the new Moraga Corporation Yard on Sunday, May 16, 2010 from 12:00 Noon to 4:00 P.M., with the Ribbon Cutting Ceremony scheduled for 12:30 P.M. The proclamation was presented to Public Works Director/Town Engineer Jill Mercurio.

Public Works Director/Town Engineer Jill Mercurio thanked the Town Council for the proclamation and recognition honoring Public Works staff who maintained the Town in good operating order to the best of its ability with minimal staffing. She reiterated the invitation to the Public Works Open House Ceremony scheduled for May 16.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

IV. ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT AGENDA

A. Approval of the Consent Items

Consent Agenda Item 2 was pulled from the Consent Agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Harpham) to approve Consent Agenda items 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Vote: 4-0-1. Absent: Metcalf

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| 1) Accounts Payable Claims for 4/23/10 (\$81,062.05);
4/23/10 (\$5,119.49); 4/28/10 (\$47,370.10) | Approved |
| 2) <i>Approve Minutes from the Town Council Meeting of April
28, 2010</i> | <i>Removed</i> |
| 3) Approve Revised Minutes from the Town Council Meeting of
April 14, 2010 | Approved |
| 4) Accept Roofing Improvements Constructed by Caldwell-
Roland Roofing, Inc., of Berkeley for the Roof Repair at 331
Rheem Boulevard, CIP Project Number 10-303 | Approved |
| 5) Adopt Resolution 41-2010 Awarding a Services Contract to
Scott Carr of Moraga for Discing of Fire Breaks and Blading
of Fire Trails throughout the Town of Moraga for FY 2010/2011,
2011/2012 and 2012/2013 | Approved |
| 6) Adopt Resolution 42-2010 Awarding a Services Contract to
East Bay Tree Service of Moraga for the Weed Abatement
of Town Rights-of-Way for FY 2010/2011, 2011/2012 and
2012/2013 | Approved |
| 7) Adopt Resolution 43-2010 Authorizing and Approving the
First Amendment to the Town Manager's Employment
Agreement to Modify Section 6(c) Administrative Leave | Approved |
| 8) Proclamation Honoring William G. Combs for his Service
to the Moraga Community | Approved |

B. Consideration of Consent Items Removed for Discussion

1. Approve Minutes from the Town Council Meeting of April 28, 2010

The following corrections were made to the minutes of the April 28, 2010 Town Council meeting. To the last paragraph on Page 8:

- **Vice Mayor Mendonca** - Recommended the approval of off-leash dogs at Rancho Laguna Park from park opening to 9:00 A.M. every day which would allow the park to be used by other users who did not have off-leash animals; did not want to see a trial period for the "Back 40" area at the Commons due to the public off-leash dog users who had either commented in writing or testified this was not an area in which they were interested; opposed the expenditure of Town monies to grade or prepare the area for a dog park until such time as the dog park users or other Town group or citizens met with the Town's Parks and Recreation Director to discuss interest in the

development of an official dog park; recommended that any future dog park should meet the standards of the American Kennel Club; and that no special accommodations which violates the park usage ordinance be granted by the Town.

To the Mayor's comments under Mayor's and Councilmembers' Reports on Page 10:

Mayor Chew - Reported that he and the Vice Mayor had attended the Audit and Finance Committee meeting with reports received from staff, a presentation from a representative from PFM on investment strategies, with the recommendation that there was no advantage for the Town to change its current investment strategies due to associated costs.

And to the second to last sentence of Councilmember Metcalf's comments under Mayor's and Councilmembers' Report on Page 11:

He [**Councilmember Metcalf**] noted that video and audio taping of the sessions would not be done to eliminate any negative perceptions by the public.

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Harpham) to approve the minutes of the April 28, 2010 Town Council meeting, as amended. Vote: 4-0-1. Absent: Metcalf

V. ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

ACTION: It was M/S (Harpham/Trotter) to adopt the Meeting Agenda, as shown. Vote: 4-0-1. Absent: Metcalf

VI. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

Carolyn Aguirre, Moraga, reported on the damaging effects of secondhand smoke and her inability to do anything about it since the Town had no ordinance banning smoking in apartments, condominiums and public areas. She asked the Town Council to consider imposing such restrictions.

Lynda Deschambault, Moraga, submitted to the Town Council a petition requesting that the Town establish a Sustainability Subcommittee or Task Force which could be formed similar to the Revenue Enhancement Committee. She also submitted a list of sustainable resources in the Town along with contact names of those who would be happy to help and volunteer. She expressed her hope that the request would be considered as a future agenda item.

Edy Schwartz, Moraga, President of the Moraga Chamber of Commerce, thanked the Town Council, staff and all those involved in the Moraga Community Faire which had been well attended. She reported that Safeway had been very supportive of the Chamber and the community, and during a recent meeting with Moraga Movers information had been presented as to how the company had been working to improve the communities where it was located. She noted the money Safeway had contributed to the local community and reported on the eScrip program for group fundraising activities which could benefit the Town of Moraga.

Ms. Schwartz took the opportunity to invite the Town Council and the Town Manager to the next Chamber of Commerce meeting scheduled for Friday, May 21, 2010 at 7:30 A.M. at the Hacienda, which would offer the opportunity for the Town Manager and Town Council to spend time with those who had contributed funds to the Town.

Bill Durkin, Moraga, urged the Town Council to establish a Sustainability Subcommittee or Task Force. He expressed his willingness to serve on the Task Force and noted that he was aware of other citizens who were also interested in serving.

VII. SPECIAL ORDERS - PUBLIC HEARINGS

- A. Continue to May 26, 2010 the Public Hearing and Appeal of the August 17, 2009, Decision of the Planning Commission Approving the Rancho Laguna II Residential Development Proposal with 27 lots, per Resolutions Certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and Approving a Conceptual Development Plan (CDP) and Conditional Use Permit (CUP) (*Continued from October 28 and November 4, 2009, and January 27 and March 10, 2010*).

Mayor Chew recused himself from the discussion due to the proximity of his residence to the subject property. He left the dais and the Council Chambers.

Vice Mayor Mendonca chaired the meeting at this time.

Town Manager Michael Segrest asked that the Town Council approve the continuance of the item to the May 26, 2010 meeting which he expected would be the final continuance which had been expressed to the applicant as well.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Mark Armstrong, representing Rancho Laguna II, reported that the applicants and consultants had provided various documents and materials to Town staff for review and consideration. He expressed the willingness to post a revised grading plan and landscaping exhibits on the Town's website to depict the visual and biological mitigation measures being proposed. He looked forward to addressing the Council on May 26.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

<p>ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Harpham) to continue the Appeal of the August 17, 2009 Decision of the Planning Commission Approving the Rancho Laguna II Residential Development Proposal with 27 lots, per Resolutions Certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and Approving a Conceptual Development Plan (CDP) and Conditional Use Permit (CUP) (<i>Continued from October 28, 2009, November 4, 2009, January 27, 2010 and March 10, 2010</i>), to the regular meeting of the Town Council scheduled for May 26, 2010. Vote: 3-0. Recused: Chew/Absent: Metcalf</p>

Mayor Chew returned to the dais at this time.

VIII. UNFINISHED BUSINESS - None

IX. NEW BUSINESS

- A. Adopt Resolution 44-2010 Accepting the Modifications to the Investment Policy and Accepting the Investment Recommendations of the Audit and Finance Committee

Administrative Services Director Joan Streit reported that pursuant to Government Code and the Town's own Investment Policy, the Investment Policy was to be reviewed annually by the Town Council. She advised that there had been some modifications proposed to the Investment Policy, which were primarily ministerial and non-substantive. The Town Council was asked to accept and approve the modifications as presented.

Ms. Streit added that the Town Council had given staff direction in March 2010 to look into options to invest idle funds in other pools and instruments other than the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The Audit and Finance Committee (AFC) had met in April and had received a presentation from Public Finance Management (PFM) about the various options and the current investment market. It was recommended by the advisor that the Town Council remain with LAIF. The AFC and staff also recommended that the Town Council remain with LAIF and wait out the market given the money the Town had to invest and the current trends in the market.

Mr. Segrest commented on the flexibility and benefits of remaining with LAIF given the amount the Town had to invest and the current market conditions which had led to the recommendation from the investment counselor. He reported that Councilmember Metcalf had spoken to him on the issue and had raised concerns that the Town had not considered other investment options and that he [Councilmember Metcalf] would like to see the issue revisited later in the year to determine whether or not circumstances had changed.

In response to the Council, Ms. Streit explained that currently the interest rate for certificates of deposit (CDs) between \$250,000 and \$350,000 for a maximum of two years was between one to two percent, and two percent for two years. In terms of the time and effort for the required reporting, in order for the AFC to have that information to manage those CDs, her Department would have to provide the information with required reports beyond just LAIF which would take up a great deal of staff time. The reporting would be required quarterly for the Council and to State investment agencies. More reporting for the end of the year financial statements would also be required.

Ms. Streit questioned the placement of \$250,000, as an example, into a CD and tying up that money for a period of time, potentially two years, given the current market conditions when that money would not be available if needed. She also clarified the Federal and State requirements related to collateralized or insured CDs.

Given the current market conditions, Mr. Segrest recommended for the upcoming budget discussions and close of the year audit, that the issue be brought back at a later date. He suggested that now was not a good time for staff to take up a new investment strategy.

In response to a recommendation to table the item and bring it back in the fall after budget discussions, Ms. Streit explained that if no action was taken at this time the Investment Policy, absent the modifications, would remain in place. However, there was no longer a Finance Director position and the clean up item would be addressed with the proposed modifications.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

There were no comments from the public.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

The Town Council discussed the Investment Policy Modifications and AFC Investment Recommendations and offered the following direction to staff:

- Accept the proposed Investment Policy Modifications and AFC Investment Recommendations with the exception of the red line additional language proposed in Attachment B, Draft Investment Policy, Page 3, the third and fourth bullets under Section 7, Authorized Investments, Collateralized or insured certificates of deposit, with the Town Council to revisit the proposed modifications and recommendations in six months; and
- Capitalize the word "Government" as shown in the first sentence of Page 5, Section 13, Investment Report.

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Harpham) to adopt Resolution 44-2010 Accepting the Modifications to the Investment Policy and Accepting the Investment Recommendations of the Audit and Finance Committee, with the exception of the red line additional language proposed in Attachment B, Draft Investment Policy, Page 3, the third and fourth bullets under Section 7, Authorized Investments, Collateralized or insured certificates of deposit, with the Town Council to revisit the proposed modifications and recommendations in six months; and capitalize the word "Government" as shown in the first sentence of Page 5, Section 13, Investment Report. Vote: 4-0-1. Absent: Metcalf

- B. Adopt Resolution 45-2010 Authorizing the Town to Join the CaliforniaFIRST Program for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Financing Sponsored by the California Statewide Communities Development Authority ("California Communities") and Adopt Resolution 46-2010 Requesting Contra Costa County to Join the CaliforniaFIRST Program

Staff Engineer John Sherbert explained that the CaliforniaFIRST Program had been instituted by California Communities (CC), a joint powers authority sponsored by the League of California Cities and the State Association of Counties, to allow owners of property in participating cities and counties to finance renewable energy, energy efficiency, and water efficiency improvements on their properties. If a property owner chose to participate in the program, the improvements would be financed by the issuance of bonds by CC. CC would levy the contractual assessments on the owner's property to repay the portion of the bonds issued to finance the improvements on that property. CC had selected Renewable Funding LLC and RBC Capital Markets to provide administration and financing for the program.

Mr. Sherbert described the benefits of participating in the CaliforniaFIRST Program, which would help the Town continue its tradition of fostering a greener environment and participate in a Statewide program eliminating most of the risk associated with similar programs sponsored by individual communities or counties. There would also be benefits to a property owner. Only those property owners who chose to participate in the program would have assessments imposed on their property, under the program the assessment obligation would be transferred with the property upon sale, the property owner may choose to pay off the assessments at any time subject to applicable prepayment penalties, and both residential and commercial properties may have access to the municipal bond market which may produce a lower borrowing cost.

The Town would benefit since the Town would not be obligated to repay the bonds issued by CC or pay the assessments levied on the participating properties. CC would also handle the assessment administration, bond issuance and bond administration functions. A participating city may provide the opportunity for the financing of renewable energy, energy efficiency, and water efficiency improvements to property owners through the program committing no staff time to administer the program.

Mr. Sherbert stated that other than an initial \$10,000 set-up fee, there would be no further financial commitment for the Town to maintain the program. He noted that both Alameda and

Santa Clara counties were in the pilot stage of the program and Contra Costa County planned to join the program in mid-summer.

Mr. Sherbert explained that the resolution before the Council would authorize CC to accept applications from owners of property within the Town's jurisdiction for municipal financing for renewable energy, energy efficiency, and water efficiency improvements through the program. It would also authorize CC to conduct assessment proceedings and levy assessments against the property of participating owners within the Town's boundaries. It would further authorize miscellaneous related actions and would make certain findings and determinations required by law. CC would undertake the judicial validation proceeding as part of its initiation of the program. Any jurisdiction may withdraw from the program at any time by passing a resolution rescinding the authorization.

Mike Marcus, Regional Program Manager, CaliforniaFIRST Program, administered by Renewable Energy, LLC, described the background of California Communities, a joint powers authority sponsored by the League of California Cities and the California State Association of Counties. He reiterated the details of the program and the advantages of the loan program. In the event of a default, SB 77 had established a debt service reserve fund which would address any defaults that may occur across the State. The intent was that the fund not be used in its entirety. He also clarified in detail the loading order process required by the California Energy Commission whereby a property owner must achieve a certain level of energy efficiency prior to financing renewables which had been incorporated into the program.

Mr. Marcus explained that the program launch and validation was still in the pilot phase, was tied to stimulus funds, and that the timeline had currently been delayed by a lawsuit generated in Southern California against the Energy Commission. The Town may still pass a resolution prior to the County's adoption of the program, although the Town may not move forward with the program until the County adopted it. He clarified that the \$10,000 initial set-up fee had been based on the population and a legal validation fee equally spread throughout the jurisdictions in the County. If enough cities joined with the County prior to a certain date, the fee could potentially be lower. Whatever the fixed fee ended up being would be passed on to subsequent cities, which was the advantage to getting cities joining earlier than later. He added that if the Town adopted the resolution at this time, once the County opted into the program the legal validation process must occur which involved a specific criteria and timeline before the fee was imposed. As to the Town recouping the cost of the initial set-up fee, that could be achieved through the Town's building permit process or business license fees.

Mr. Marcus reported that the cities of Pittsburg and Richmond had recently passed resolutions. The cities of Walnut Creek and Martinez had agendaized consideration of the program at future meetings. He emphasized that they were early in the process and based on his communication with the County and some cities, he understood there was a fair amount of interest. He clarified, when asked, that 14 counties (comprising 130 cities) had opted into the program.

Mr. Segrest reported on his understanding that the cities of Orinda and Lafayette were considering participation in the program as well.

Mr. Marcus explained that the timeline for opting into the program for Contra Costa County had shifted from June 1 due to the uncertainties with the recent lawsuit. He understood that a ruling would be made on May 21 as to the outcome of the first part of the lawsuit. He identified the details of the lawsuit, which currently prevented the State validation process or the building of the portal on which the application process would be handled on line, and which had been put on hold creating a delay with the rest of the program. Due to that uncertainty he was cautious in identifying a date to move forward with the program.

Mr. Marcus commented that the first resolution before the Council was a Contra Costa County grass roots effort from jurisdictions to promote the program. He suggested that was not a funding based initiative and was a political statement. He was not in a position to make a recommendation on the second resolution since it was not a Renewable Funding based initiative, although there would be no negative backlash in the adoption of that resolution.

Mr. Segrest suggested that the first resolution supporting the County must occur to allow the Town to participate in the program. He suggested it made sense to adopt the second resolution now so that the funding could be incorporated in the next year's budget, which would show additional commitment to the County on the Town's participation.

PUBLIC COMMENTS OPENED

Rob Lucacher, Moraga, asked whether or not the interest rates would be established at a future time. He like the idea of the program and suggested it would do a great deal for the Town.

Mr. Marcus explained that the interest rate would be similar to the rates for a new mortgage which would change with the bond market. The intent was that an asset backed market be used rather than a municipal bond market once they reached certain levels of liquidity. He reiterated the financial details of the program whereby once \$25 million in financing was originated, a bond rate of 7 to 8 percent could be achieved.

Bill Durkin, Moraga, understood that the program would increase property values and realtors were in the process of establishing values for energy efficiency improvements. He supported the program and had spoken with some business owners who were interested in fundraising efforts to fund and market the program.

An unidentified individual urged the Council to support the program.

Susan Junfish, Moraga, also urged the Town Council to support the CaliforniaFIRST Program. She commented on the numerous health problems caused by the dependence on fossil fuels and recent research sponsored by the American Heart Association.

Lynda Deschambault, Moraga, suggested that the program would offer the opportunity for homeowners to achieve energy efficiency while reducing costs. She asked the Town Council to opt into the program given that there would be no staff time, little financial impact, and would offer creative opportunities in the Town. She too had spoken with individuals who would be interested in fundraising efforts for the program. She added that Moraga had a great deal of open space, was an affluent community, with local environmental groups, and she would like to see the Town enroll in the program and approve a resolution asking the County to do the same.

Frank Freitas, Moraga, identified himself as an Energy Consultant. He suggested that the program would provide an opportunity for a property owner to upgrade their property. He added that the US Housing and Urban Development Department (HUD) had an appraisal guide, whereby for every dollar saved the property value increased. He urged the Town Council to opt into the program.

PUBLIC COMMENTS CLOSED

Mr. Marcus clarified, when asked, that the initiation fee would be required to be paid following validation.

The Town Council discussed the two resolutions regarding the CaliforniaFIRST Program and directed staff to:

- Revise Resolution No. 45-2010, Authorizing the Town of Moraga to Join CaliforniaFIRST Program et. al., Page 3, Section 6 to read:

Section 6. The appropriate officials and staff of the Town of Moraga are hereby authorized and directed to pay California Communities a fee in an amount not to exceed \$10,000, with said CaliforniaFIRST Program fee to be recouped by the Town from private donations and in future fee resolutions adopted by the Town Council. California Communities will use the fee to pay for the costs of implementing the CaliforniaFIRST Program in the Town of Moraga, including the payment of legal costs incurred in connection with judicial validation of the CaliforniaFIRST Program.

- Revise Section 1, Page 2, to read:

Section 1. On the date hereof, the [Moraga Town Council] held a public hearing and the Town Council hereby finds and declares that the issuance of bonds by California Communities in connection with the CaliforniaFIRST Program will provide significant public benefits, including without limitation, savings in effective interest rate, bond preparation, bond underwriting, and bond issuance costs and reductions in effective user charges levied by water and electricity providers within the boundaries of the Town of Moraga.

- Revise Exhibit A, Form of Resolution Authorizing California Communities to Conduct Contractual Assessment Proceeding and Levy Contractual Assessments, to include reference to Contra Costa County in the title and in the second WHEREAS clause.

On the discussion of proposed modifications to the language contained in Section 6, acknowledging that staff was now proposing language which differed from what had been proposed by the Council and in the resolution, Town Attorney James Atencio explained that legally he would prefer language that offered the most flexibility. In this case, he recommended the language proposed by Councilmember Trotter be included in that section.

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Mendonca) to adopt Resolution 45-2010, Authorizing the Town To Join the CaliforniaFIRST Program; Authorizing the California Statewide Communities Development Authority to Accept Applications From Property Owners, Conduct Contractual Assessment Proceedings and Levy Contractual Assessments Within the Territory of the Town of Moraga; and Authorizing Related Actions, subject to the revisions as discussed, including the amendments to Exhibit A. Vote: 4-0-1. Absent: Metcalf

ACTION: It was M/S (Trotter/Mendonca) to adopt Resolution 46-2010, Requesting Contra Costa County to Join the CaliforniaFIRST Program, Providing AB811 Funding for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Projects. Vote: 4-0-1. Absent: Metcalf

X. ORDINANCES - None

XI. REPORTS

A. Council Requests for Future Agenda Items

Mayor Chew requested consideration of an Environmental Task Force. He otherwise asked staff of the status of a previous request for a future agenda item to include potential consideration of a ballot measure regarding the Rancho Laguna Dog Park.

Councilmember Trotter recommended consideration of an Environmental Committee to develop a Climate Action Plan for submission to the Council for approval prior to consideration of a broader Task Force.

Mr. Segrest acknowledged the prior Council direction, although he advised that he would not recommend such a discussion on an immediate agenda given the time that could be involved with such a discussion. While he acknowledged that the Council had voted 4-1 for the Town Manager to review the possibility of a ballot measure, he reiterated his concern that such an agenda item would be time consuming and exhaustive. He recommended that the Council decide when it would like the issue on a future agenda.

Councilmember Harpham acknowledged that while such an agenda item would be burdensome, that had been the direction of the Council.

Mayor Chew did not support bringing the issue back for discussion on a future agenda item given the current workload.

Councilmember Trotter recommended that this be discussed at the next meeting of the Council when the entire Council was present, at which time the Council may give direction to staff on the issue.

Mr. Segrest recommended that the issue be discussed at the next meeting of the Council during the Future Agenda Items discussion. He added that he would e-mail each Councilmember his comments in response to the Council's prior direction.

As to the request during public comment to consider a Smoking Ordinance, the Council did not agree that the issue should be agendized at this time given that the Town did not have the staff or a Code Enforcement Officer to enforce such regulations. The Council directed the Town Manager to speak with the member of the public who had made the request and possibly have that individual provide information to the Council on what a town could do in order to make its citizens healthier and safer.

B. Mayor's and Councilmembers' Reports

Mayor Chew – Reported that he had attended the Saint Mary's College Gaels Men's Basketball Celebration at the Commons on May 2; the Cinco de Mayo Celebration at the Hacienda on May 5, and had participated in a VIP Tour of Saint Mary's College. He had also attended the Mayors' Conference on May 8 in the City of El Cerrito when the new Executive Director of the Contra Costa Transportation Authority had been introduced, and when a report from the City of Walnut Creek City Manager on the urgency of cities in the State reviewing the retirement packages of employees had been presented.

Vice Mayor Mendonca – Reported that she had attended the Solid Waste Authority Meeting on April 29 with a discussion on limiting or banning the use of plastic bags and Styrofoam, with future meetings planned to discuss the issue with local business owners and different constituent groups. She attended the MYIC sponsored movie night on April 30 with the movie *UP* having been shown at the Commons. On May 2, she had attended the Saint Mary's College Gaels Basketball Team Celebration at the Commons and on May 8 had attended the Moraga Community Faire which had been well attended. She too had volunteered at the May 5 Cinco de Mayo celebrations.

Councilmember Harpham – Reported that the RECON Committee had scheduled focus committee meetings on May 22, 24 and 25 to be professionally facilitated and monitored.

Councilmember Metcalf – No report

Councilmember Trotter - Reported that he had attended the Mayors' Conference in the City of El Cerrito with an informational presentation on public employee pension reforms and had volunteered at the Cinco de Mayo festivities at the Hacienda which had been well attended.

- C. Town Manager Update – Mr. Segrest reported that the Town's grant request for the Camino Pablo Fields would be considered by the East Bay Regional Park District Board on May 18, 2010.

XII. COMMUNICATIONS - None

XIII. ADJOURNMENT

**ACTION: It was M/S (Mendonca/Trotter) to adjourn the meeting at 10:42 P.M. Vote: 4-0-1.
Absent: Metcalf**

Respectfully submitted by:


Marty C. McInturf, Town Clerk

Approved by the Town Council:


Ken Chew, Mayor

