

HETFIELD ESTATES
MITIGATION MONITORING AND
REPORTING PROGRAM REVISED

March 23, 2012

MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PLAN

(For Significant Impacts Only)

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR) AND REVISED PURSUANT TO THE RESPONSE TO COMMENTS				
AESTHETICS / VISUAL RESOURCES				
3.1-1A: The existing tree screen shall be supplemented with similar native species on the site behind the houses at 1108 through 1116, 1140, 1144, and 1156 through 1164 Sanders Drive. Trees shall be planted on lower portions of the creek bank, <u>(on the project side of the creek bank)</u> , protected from deer, and maintained prior to the start of site preparation. Tree size shall be no less than 15-gallon size and shall be a mix of native species; e.g., coast live oak, California buckeye, California <u>redbud</u> . The applicant shall submit a tree-planting plan for review and approval by the Town.	Applicant/Builder	Tree planting plans shall be submitted for design review. Town of Moraga shall review final planting plan prior to issuance of grading permit.	Town of Moraga	Pre-construction.
		Notify Town when trees have been planted. Conduct on-site inspection of new trees.	Town of Moraga	After trees have been planted.
3.1-1B: The applicant shall post a security bond to assure protection of existing and newly planted trees that are located along the north edge of the property. The term of the bond shall extend at least 36 months beyond the completion of the required subdivision improvements.	Applicant/Builder	Bond shall be posted prior to start of site preparation and grading.	Town of Moraga	Prior to issuance of grading permit.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.1-1C: Newly planted trees shall be monitored for a period of ten <u>five</u> years from the date of installation. Any trees lost during this period shall be replaced and monitored by the developer for the same length of time. Upon completion of the monitoring period, the property owners or a homeowner's association shall replace any trees that may require removal and shall be responsible for maintaining the trees.</p>	Applicant/Builder	The applicant shall submit annual monitoring reports to the Town of Moraga for review and approval.	Town of Moraga	Reports filed yearly after first year of installation.
<p>3.1-2: Refer to Mitigation Measures 3.1-1A–C.</p>	See above			
<p>3.1-3A: The massing and stepping of the houses shall be as shown on Figures 2-2 through 2-4. The maximum building height shall be determined through the design review process, but shall not exceed 25 feet from existing finished grade.</p>	Applicant/Builder	Plans submitted for review and approval by the Design Review Board.	Town of Moraga	Prior to issuance of building permits.
<p>3.1-3B: House designs shall be compatible to the adjoining neighborhood; that is, low profile by incorporating low-pitched roofs and roof overhangs.</p>	Applicant/Builder	Plans submitted for review and approval by the Design Review Board.	Town of Moraga	Prior to issuance of building permits.
<p>3.1-3C: The final map shall reflect similar house plotting as shown on Figure 3-1 in Appendix B. A minimum distance between new and existing houses shall be no less than 180 feet.</p>	Applicant/Builder	Plans submitted for review and approval by the Design Review Board.	Town of Moraga	Prior to issuance of building permits.
<p>3.1-3D: Prior to final map approval, the applicant shall submit design guidelines to ensure that future homebuilders incorporate features in the design that are compatible with the adjoining neighborhood.</p>	Applicant/Builder	Plans submitted for review and approval by the Design Review Board.	Town of Moraga	Prior to final map approval.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.1-3E: Individual landscape plans shall be submitted to the Town’s Design Review Board at the time individual house plans are reviewed. The landscape plans shall reflect a mix of native vegetation that will help blend the structures with the natural setting.</p>	Applicant/Builder	Plans submitted for review and approval by the Design Review Board.	Town of Moraga	Prior to issuance of building permits.
GEOLOGY / GEOTECHNICAL / SOILS				
<p>3.2-1A: A design-level geotechnical and geologic investigation report shall be submitted to the Town of Moraga prior to recordation of the subdivision map. The report, which shall respond to the peer review letter by the Town’s Engineering Geologist, shall provide specific criteria and standards to guide site grading, drainage and foundation design.</p>	Applicant’s geotechnical consultant	Submit final geotechnical report 45 days prior to recordation.	Review and approval of report by Town’s Peer Review Geologist.	Prior to recordation
<p>In areas of proposed development (i.e., cells), existing landslides and slope repairs shall include (a) removal of slide debris, with the depth of excavation extending into underlying competent material; (b) installation of subsurface drainage measures, (c) replacement of slide debris with compacted engineered fill, (d) construction of surface drainage measures, and (e) planting disturbed areas with erosion-resistant vegetation, as recommended in the design-level geotechnical investigation.</p>				

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.2-1B: Gradient criteria for engineered slopes as recommended by Engeo shall be required for development of the project site. Any conflicts between future grading plans and these criteria should be interpreted as evidence that special engineering is required (e.g., retaining walls, geogrid reinforcement). Those standards call for use of 3:1 fill slopes as a general standard for the project, with the exception that fill slopes less than 8 feet high may have a 2:1 gradient. Cut slopes are to be avoided.</p>	<p>Applicant's geotechnical consultant</p>	<p>Submit grading plan 45 days prior to recordation.</p>	<p>Review and approval of report by the Town's Peer Review Geologist.</p>	<p>Prior to recordation</p>
<p>3.2-1C: Grading and drainage plans shall be subject to review of the Town's Public Works Department and the Town's Peer Review Geologist. Appropriately licensed professionals shall prepare the plans.</p>	<p>Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Technical review of grading and drainage plans.</p>	<p>Review and approval of plan by Town's Peer Review Geologist.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of grading permit.</p>
<p>3.2-1D: Buttressing, keying and installation of debris benches shall be provided in the transition areas between open space areas and development as recommended in the design-level geotechnical report.</p>	<p>Applicant/project engineering consultant</p>	<p>Technical review by town's Peer Review Geologist.</p>	<p>Town's Peer Review Geologist</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of grading permit.</p>
<p>3.2-1E: The design-level geotechnical report shall evaluate all major graded slopes and open space hillsides whose performance could affect planned improvements. The slope stability analysis shall be performed for both static and dynamic conditions using an appropriate pseudo-static coefficient.</p>	<p>Applicant's geotechnical consultant</p>	<p>Technical review of design-level geotechnical report.</p>	<p>Review and approval of report by Town's Peer Review Geologist.</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of grading permit.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.2-1F: During grading, the project geotechnical engineer shall observe and approve all keyway excavations, removal of fill and landslide materials down to stable bedrock or in-place material, and installation of all subdrains including their connections. Cut slopes and keyways shall be observed and mapped by the project-engineering geologist who will provide any required slope modification recommendations based on the actual geologic conditions encountered during grading. Written approval from the Town’s Public Works Department shall be obtained prior to any modification. Placement of all fill shall be observed and tested by the representative of the geotechnical engineer, and the density test results and reports submitted to the Town to be kept on file.</p>	<p>Project engineering geologist</p>	<p>Observation and mapping throughout mass grading of sit.</p>	<p>Review and approval by the Town’s Peer Review Geologist.</p>	<p>Submittal of a final grading report by applicant prior to “finalizing” grading permit.</p>
<p>3.2-1G: Prior to recordation of the Final Map, the applicant shall provide a draft deed disclosure recorded against each lot. The disclosure shall provide a detailed citation of the Final Geotechnical Report, indicating that it is available from the developer and from the Town of Moraga; and it shall summarizing the potential geologic hazards and explain the maintenance responsibilities of the property owner, including maintenance of the debris bench and drainage facilities. The language in the draft deed disclosure is subject to review and approval of the Planning Director, and it shall be recorded concurrent with or prior to recordation of the final map.</p>	<p>Applicant</p>	<p>Review and approval of Deed Disclosure.</p>	<p>Town’s Peer Review Geologist and Town of Moraga.</p>	<p>Prior to recordation of final map.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.2-2: A structure setback zone that provides a building free corridor along the mapped fault shall be shown and labeled on the Final Map. The zone shall be 125 feet wide and extend 50 feet from the mapped fault on its northeast flank and 75 feet from the mapped fault on the southwest flank. An annotation of the map shall specify that within the structure setback zone, corrective grading of the landslides is allowed, including the installation of subdrains, debris benches and surface drainage facilities. Additionally, necessary maintenance of these improvements is allowed. Any other use shall require review and approval by the Planning Director.</p>	Applicant	Review and approval of the setback zone.	Town's Peer Review Geologist and Town of Moraga.	Prior to recordation of final map.
<p>3.2-3A: Grading activities shall be restricted to the summer construction season (15 April through 1 October). Any earthwork done after 1 October shall be limited to activities directly related to erosion control, unless the Town of Moraga Public Works Department authorizes additional work.</p>	Applicant/builder	Town of Moraga to review requests for winter season work.	Planning Director may seek comments from Grading Inspector or Town's Peer Review Geologist.	Prior to authorizing any winter season work.
<p>3.2-3B: Provide an erosion control plan prior to approval of the grading plan. The following interim control measures shall be employed based on site-specific needs in the project area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grading to minimize areas of exposed, erodible material, and to avoid over-concentration of rapidly flowing runoff in unprotected, erodible areas. 	Applicant's engineer	Technical review of erosion control plans.	Grading Technician Review	Prior to issuance of grading permit.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The erosion control plans shall include water bars, temporary culverts and swales, mulch and jute netting blankets on exposed slopes, hydro seeding, silt fences, and sediment traps/basins. 				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Placement of salvaged topsoil on graded 3:1 slopes prior to the onset of winter rains. Because the biggest problem with effective sediment control is lack of maintenance, the erosion control plan must have a comprehensive program for inspection and maintenance during the winter rainy season, including provisions for documenting maintenance activities. Wherever feasible, isolate runoff from ungraded areas, thereby simplifying erosion control and sediment control measures within the graded area. Monitor the effectiveness of the erosion control measures throughout the duration of construction. 				
<p>3.2-3C: Provide a “Stormwater Control Plan” that is C.3 compliant, for review and approval of the Moraga Public Works Department. In order to reduce the potential impacts of long-term erosion and sedimentation, the project shall incorporate the appropriate design, construction and continued maintenance of one or more of the following long-term control measures:</p>	Applicant’s engineer	Technical review of the Stormwater Control Plan.	Town Engineer	Prior to issuing grading permit.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The specific measures shall be based on the recommendations of the project geotechnical engineer and hydrologist. Project plans shall incorporate drainage measures to collect and control surface runoff water on sloping lots, including lined ditches and closed downspout collection systems. Concentrated runoff shall not be permitted to drain over engineered slopes. 				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed location of lined drainage ditches shall be specified on the development plan accompanying the design-level geotechnical investigation report, which shall be reviewed by the Town's Peer Review Geologist. 				
<p>3.2-3D: Provide low retaining walls with subsurface and surface drainage facilities at the toe of the major fill slopes on the site (at rear of building pads).</p>	Applicant's engineer	Technical review of improvement plans	Town Engineer and Town's Peer Review Geologist.	Prior to issuance of grading permit.
<p>3.2-4A: The design-level geotechnical investigation shall provide criteria for foundation and pavement design, developed in accordance with the 2007 <u>latest version of the</u> California Building Code and Ordinance Code requirements on the basis of subsurface exploration and laboratory testing. The constraints on the use of expansive soil near finish grade shall be evaluated in the design-level geotechnical investigation report.</p>	Applicant's engineer	Technical review of geotechnical report.	Town's Peer Review Geologist	Prior to issuance of building permits.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.2-4B: The foundation recommendation shall include provision for measuring corrosivity of soils within area planned for buildings following grading but prior to the issuance of building permits. The ferrous materials and concrete that is in contact with the ground shall be engineered to minimize/ avoid damage from corrosivity.</p>	Applicant's engineer	Technical review of geotechnical report	Town's Peer Review Geologist	Prior to issuance of building permits.
<p>3.2-5: Prior to the issuance of the first residential building permit, the applicant shall submit a Grading Completion Report prepared by the project geotechnical engineer. The report shall include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An as-graded geologic map of all cut slopes and keyways exposed during grading. This map shall not be generalized and diagrammatic; it shall show the details of observed features and conditions, and serve to document that all slide debris was removed from the graded areas. • Provide the results of compaction of fill, performed using an ASTM compaction test method. The documentation provided shall include reference to the date, location and elevation of the test. 	Applicant/project geotechnical engineer	Technical review of the Grading Completion Report.	Town's Peer Review Geologist and Town Engineer	Prior to issuance of building permits.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document any field changes made during construction (i.e., what unexpected condition was encountered, date; what consultation occurred with the Town's Public Works Department/Town Geologist, date; and what remediation was implemented). 				

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the conformance of the as-graded project with the recommendations in the approved geotechnical report. 				
<p>3.2-6: The GHAD Plan of Control for the proposed project shall make provision for the perpetual maintenance of the wetland mitigation ponds. Specifically, the Plan of Control shall provide the following details: <u>to recordation of a subdivision map for the proposed project, or prior to approval of a final map, the applicant shall ensure that the entire project site, including the open space portion and the wetland mitigation ponds, be included within a Geologic Hazard Abatement District (GHAD) formed pursuant to Public Resources Code section 26500 et seq. The GHAD formation requires a Plan of Control that shall provide the following details:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> frequency of inspections/ timing of inspections, outline the design elements of the ponds that are to be inspected by the GHAD Manager (e.g. holding capacity, outfall structure, etc.), provide objective criteria for triggering the need for sediment removal or re-construction of ponds, indicate the role of a wetlands biologist in any necessary maintenance operations that involve work within the ponds, 	Applicant/geotechnical consultant	Technical review of GHAD Plan of Control.	Town Engineer and Town's Peer Review Geologist	Prior to recordation

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> when the GHAD Manager determines the need for maintenance, outline the process to notice the GHAD Board of Directors and resource agencies of the proposed plan for maintenance, and 				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> provide the agencies a reasonable amount of time to comment on the maintenance plan. 				
HYDROLOGY / DRAINAGE				
3.3-3: The V-ditches shall be designed to convey the surface runoff from the natural areas above the debris benches resulting from a 100-year, 12-hour storm with saturated soil conditions.	Applicant's engineer	Technical review of Improvement Plans	Town Engineer and Town's Peer Review Geologist	Prior to issuance of grading permit.
3.3-5A: Prior to submitting the final map, the applicant shall submit a Stormwater Facilities Operation and Maintenance Plan, including detailed maintenance requirements and a maintenance schedule.	Applicant's engineer	Technical review of the Stormwater Facilities Operation and Maintenance Plan.	Town Engineer and Town's Peer Review Geologist	Prior to submittal of final map.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.3-5B: Joint Maintenance Agreement (JMA) shall be established for maintaining and cleaning the Hetfield Estates storm drain system, including subdrains, V-ditches, catch basins and gratings, storm drain pipelines, the detention basin, and the IMPs that are proposed in the Stormwater Control Plan for the proposed project (RMR, 2008a, Table 1). All facilities shall be cleaned prior to the rainy season (mid-October each year) and following every major storm. All Hetfield Estates property owners shall be required to contribute annually to fund the JMA. Potential buyers of Hetfield Estates properties shall be informed of their commitments to the JMA so that they can assess their ability to pay their annual contributions.</p>	Applicant	The JMA shall be included with the property deeds at the time of sale for individual house sites. Copies of the agreements shall be provided to the Town for review and approval.	Town Engineer	Prior to issuance of occupancy permit.
<p>3.3-7A: Lined ditches capable of collecting surface runoff shall be provided at the toe of the engineered slope to collect and transport runoff from the fills to the selected discharge points.</p>	Applicant's engineer	<p>Review and approval of Improvement Plans.</p> <p>On-site inspection upon installation.</p>	<p>Town Engineer</p> <p>Town Engineer</p>	<p>Prior to the issuance of grading permits.</p> <p>Upon installation.</p>
<p>3.3-7B: During grading, the location and approximate depth of subdrains shall be established by field survey. At the conclusion of site grading, the project applicant shall submit an as-built drainage plan showing the location and elevation of the subdrains and cleanouts, as well as the surface drainage facilities.</p>	Applicant's engineer	<p>On-site inspection by Town of Moraga Engineer.</p> <p>Submittal of as-built drainage plan for review and approval by Town of Moraga.</p>	Town Engineer	<p>During grading activities.</p> <p>Upon completion of site grading.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>3.3-8: The applicant shall contact the United States Corps of Engineers and the California Department of Fish and Game to obtain required permits and a Streambed Alteration Agreement for construction and operation of a storm drain discharge structure and access bridge over Larch Creek.</p>	Applicant's engineer	Streambed alteration permit shall be obtained and submitted with improvement plans to Town of Moraga for review and approval.	Town of Moraga	Prior to issuance of grading permit.
PLANNING AND LAND USE				
<p>3.4-2: The applicant shall revise the Conceptual Development Plan to include all of the area within Lot 1 in the MOSO Cell Analysis for both pre- and post-development conditions, prior to approval of the general development plan.</p>	Applicant	A revised Conceptual Development Plan shall be submitted for review and approval by the Town of Moraga.	Town of Moraga	Prior to approval of general development plan.
IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED IN THE INITIAL STUDY / PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION (IS/MND)				
AIR QUALITY				
<p>III-1: During grading and construction activities, the applicant shall implement the following measures to control dust:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water all active construction areas at least twice daily. • Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials, or require trucks to maintain at least two feet of freeboard. 	Applicant/Builder	Grading plans shall include dust control measures approved by the Town of Moraga.	Town of Moraga	On-site inspection during grading activities.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pave, apply water three times daily, or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved access roads, parking areas and staging areas at construction sites. 				

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sweep off-site streets leading to the project site daily if soil, sand, or other loose materials are deposited on these streets. Sweep daily all paved access roads, parking areas, staging areas and entrances at the construction site. 				
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES				
<p>IV-1A: The applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from the Corps, USFWS, and the RWQCB as required by federal and State law to avoid, minimize or offset impacts to any species listed under either the State or federal Endangered Species Acts or protected under any other State or federal law as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Before project implementation, a delineation of waters of the United States, including wetlands that could be affected by development, shall be made by a qualified wetland specialist through the formal CWA Section 404 process. If based on the verified delineation, it is determined that fill of waters of the United States would result from project implementation, authorization for such fill shall be secured from the Corps through the Section 404 permitting process and from the RWQCB as part of the Section 401 water quality certification process. 	<p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant/Jurisdictional Agencies</p> <p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant/Jurisdictional Agencies</p>	<p>Verify Draft Wetland Delineation with Corps and provide copy to Town.</p> <p>Secure agency authorizations and provide evidence to Town</p>	<p>Town of Moraga</p> <p>Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Provide Verification prior to issuance of Grading Permit.</p> <p>Provide copies of agency authorizations prior to issuance of Grading Permit.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consultation or incidental take permitting may be required under the ESA. The applicant shall obtain all legally-required permits from the USFWS for the “take” of protected species under the ESA. • Evidence that the applicant has secured any required authorization from these agencies shall be submitted to the Town of Moraga prior to issuance of any grading or building permits for the project. 				
<p>IV-1B: Following a biological opinion issued by the regulatory agencies as discussed above, measures shall be applied to minimize take within the construction zone. The applicant shall follow the requirements of the biological opinion. Furthermore, a qualified biologist shall be retained by the applicant to oversee construction and ensure that no inadvertent take of Alameda whipsnake or California red-legged frog occurs as a result of development of the site.</p> <p>If no biological opinion is obtained from the regulatory agencies regarding the taking of an endangered species, the following mitigation shall apply:</p>	Applicant’s Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor	Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.	Applicant’s Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor	As specified during construction.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prior to any grading or grubbing of the site, the biologist shall conduct a preconstruction survey to confirm absence of any California red-legged frog or Alameda whipsnake on the site. During the construction phase of the project, a trained biologist or a trained on-site monitor (such as the construction foreman) shall check the site in the morning and in the evening of construction activities for the presence of California red-legged frog and Alameda whipsnake. This includes checking holes, under vehicles and under boards left on the ground. If any California red-legged frog or Alameda whipsnake are found, construction shall be halted until they disperse naturally, and the monitor shall immediately notify the biologist in charge and the USFWS. Construction shall not proceed until adequate measures are taken to prevent dispersal of any individuals into the construction zone, as directed by the USFWS. 	Applicant's Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor	Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.	Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor	As specified during construction.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subsequent recommendations made by the USFWS shall be followed. The monitor shall not handle or otherwise harass the animal. The biologist in charge and the on-site monitor shall be aware of all terms and conditions set by USFWS and CDFG on the project. The biologist in charge shall train the on-site monitor in how to identify California red-legged frog and Alameda whipsnake. The biologist in charge shall visit the site at least once a week during construction and confer with the trained on-site monitor. 	Applicant's Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor	Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.	Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor	As specified during construction.

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All construction workers shall be informed of the potential presence of California red-legged frog and Alameda whipsnake, that these species are to be avoided, that the foreman must be notified if they are seen, and that construction shall be halted until authorization to proceed is obtained from the USFWS and appropriate protocols for species protection shall be followed. During construction, all holes shall be covered at night to prevent California red-legged frog and Alameda whipsnake from becoming trapped in holes on the construction site. 	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor</p>	<p>Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.</p> <p>Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p>	<p>As specified during construction.</p> <p>As specified during construction.</p>
<p>IV-1C: A qualified biologist shall be retained by the applicant to conduct a trapping and relocation program for any San Francisco dusky-footed woodrats located within the limits of proposed grading and development. A field survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist to determine whether any woodrat nests occur within the anticipated limits of grading. Any nests within the construction zone shall be relocated to locations proposed as permanent open space on the site and individual woodrats released into their relocated nests.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor</p>	<p>Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p>	<p>As specified during construction.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>If nest relocation is required, the trapping and relocation effort shall be conducted from August through February outside the breeding season to ensure any young are not inadvertently lost due to the destruction of the protective nest. The trapping and relocation effort shall preferably be conducted within a few days prior to grubbing and vegetation removal to prevent individual woodrats from moving back into the construction zone.</p>				
<p>IV-1D: Any active raptor or loggerhead shrike nests in the vicinity of proposed grading shall be avoided until young birds are able to leave the nest (i.e., fledged) and forage on their own. Avoidance may be accomplished either by scheduling removal of trees and shrubs during the non-nesting period, September through February, <u>by establishing buffers around any active nests until the young have fledged based on the results of a pre-construction survey and recommendations of a qualified biologist.</u> Provisions of the pre-construction survey and nest avoidance, if necessary, shall include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If grading is scheduled during the active nesting period (March through August), a qualified wildlife biologist shall be retained by the applicant to conduct a pre-construction nesting survey no more than 30 days prior to initiation of grading to provide confirmation on the presence or absence of active nests in the vicinity. 	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/ Construction Contractor</p>	<p>Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.</p> <p>Implement preconstruction and construction avoidance measures to ensure avoidance of inadvertent take.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p>	<p>As specified during construction.</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If active nests are encountered, species-specific measures shall be prepared by a qualified biologist in consultation with the CDFG and implemented to prevent nest abandonment. Buffers and setback zones shall be established as required by CDFG and remain in place until young have fledged the zones. At a minimum, grading in the vicinity of the nest shall be deferred until the young birds have fledged. The perimeter of the nest-setback zone shall be fenced or adequately demarcated, and construction personnel restricted from the area. If permanent avoidance of the nest is not feasible, impacts shall be minimized by prohibiting disturbance within the nest-setback zone until a qualified biologist verifies that the birds have either (a) not begun egg-laying and incubation, or (b) that the juveniles from the nest are foraging independently and capable of independent survival at an earlier date. A survey report by the qualified biologist verifying that the young have fledged shall be submitted to the Town of Moraga prior to initiation of grading in the nest-setback zone. 	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/DFG</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/DFG</p>	<p>Provide required construction setback as negotiated with CDFG</p> <p>Provide copy of survey report to Town prior to initiation of grading in nest-setback zone.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and CDFG</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Town of Moraga</p>	<p>As negotiated during construction.</p> <p>Provide copy of survey report prior to grading in nest-setback zone.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>IV-2: Native grass plants from the stand of creeping wildrye in the vicinity of proposed Lot 3 shall be salvaged and reused as part of revegetating graded slopes. Plants shall be salvaged before grubbing and initial grading, and stored until replanted on the site. The salvage and replanting program shall be prepared by a qualified biologist and incorporated into the Landscaping Plan for the project, preferably as part of the Wetland Mitigation Program specified in Mitigation Measure IV-3A.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/Landscape Architect</p>	<p>Provide salvage and replanting program as part of Landscape Plan</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/Landscape Architect/Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Incorporate program prior to approval of Final Landscape Plan.</p>
<p>IV-3A: A Final Wetland Mitigation Program shall be prepared by a qualified wetland specialist to provide for the protection, replacement, and management of jurisdictional waters on the site affected by proposed development. The Final Wetland Mitigation Program shall include the following components and meet the following standards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed grading and development shall be redesigned to preferably avoid removal or adverse impacts on areas verified as jurisdictional wetlands, particularly the freshwater seep at the southeastern edge of the "Grading Daylight Limits" on proposed Lot 6. This freshwater seep appears to be larger than currently mapped by the applicant's consultant. 	<p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant</p> <p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant and Civil Engineer</p>	<p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan</p> <p>Refine Tentative Map and Grading Plan to minimize disturbance to wetlands.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/Town of Moraga</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan prior to issuance of Grading Permit.</p> <p>Demonstrate wetlands have been adequately avoided and provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan prior to issuance of Grading Permit.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide adequate mitigation for any direct or indirect impacts on jurisdictional waters as coordinated with the Corps and/or RWQCB where complete avoidance is infeasible. Replacement wetlands shall be at a minimum of 2:1 ratio and shall be established in suitable locations within undeveloped open space areas, preferably on-site. The wetlands replacement component of the Final Wetland Mitigation Program shall emphasize establishment of native freshwater marsh and seasonal wetlands to enhance existing habitat values. • The wetland replacement component of the Final Wetland Mitigation Program shall specify performance criteria, maintenance and long-term management responsibilities, monitoring requirements, and contingency measures. Monitoring shall be conducted by the qualified wetland specialist for a minimum of five years and continue until the success criteria are met. • The Final Wetland Mitigation Program shall be completed prior to approval of the Final Map for the project to demonstrate feasibility of wetland mitigation, and allow for possible major adjustments to the limits of proposed development, particularly on Lot 6. 	<p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant/Jurisdictional Agencies</p> <p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant/Jurisdictional Agencies</p> <p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant and Civil Engineer</p>	<p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan satisfactory to jurisdictional agencies.</p> <p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan satisfactory to jurisdictional agencies.</p> <p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan satisfactory to jurisdictional agencies.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/Town of Moraga</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/Town of Moraga</p> <p>Applicant's Biological Consultant/Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan prior to issuance of Grading Permit.</p> <p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan prior to issuance of Grading Permit.</p> <p>Provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan prior to approval of Final Map.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>IV-3B: The final trail alignment connecting to the cul-de-sac on proposed Lot 6 should be designed to avoid or minimize passing through the freshwater seeps and seasonal wetlands on this portion of the site. If complete avoidance is not feasible, potential impacts shall be addressed as part of the Final Wetland Mitigation Program outlined in Mitigation Measure IV-3A.</p>	<p>Applicant's Wetland Consultant and Civil Engineer</p>	<p>Adjust alignment of trail to avoid wetland resources and address any impacts as part of Final Wetland Mitigation Plan.</p>	<p>Applicant's Biological Consultant and Civil Engineer/Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Identify trail alignment and provide Final Wetland Mitigation Plan prior to approval of Final Map.</p>
<p>IV-4A: The portion of the site not proposed for development will be placed in permanent open space to preserve its function as permanent wildlife habitat. Any fencing proposed as part of development on individual lots shall be designed to allow for continued movement by wildlife, or shall be restricted to the vicinity of the building pads. Any fencing, which could obstruct wildlife movement, shall not extend beyond the limits of grading shown in the Conceptual Development Plan.</p>	<p>Applicant's Civil Engineer</p>	<p>Provide protective mechanism.</p>	<p>Applicant's Civil Engineer/Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Include r protective mechanism prior to approval of Final Map.</p>
<p>IV-4B: Signage shall be provided at the access points off the cul-de-sac on proposed Lot 6 which indicate that dogs shall be leashed.</p>	<p>Applicant's Civil Engineer</p>	<p>Include signage on Final Map.</p> <p>Conduct on-site inspection</p>	<p>Applicant's Civil Engineer/Town of Moraga</p> <p>Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Confirm signage include on plans prior to approval of Final Map.</p> <p>Prior to issuing occupancy permit.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>IV-5A: Grading shall be designed to avoid and minimize possible tree removal. This shall be accomplished by expanding the current tree mapping, adjusting the limits of grading to ensure adequate avoidance, and retaining a certified arborist to evaluate potential impacts and make specific recommendations to minimize tree loss or damage. The limits of tree mapping should be expanded to show all trees with trunk diameters of 5 inches or greater within 30 feet of the proposed “Grading Daylight Line” on the Conceptual Development Plan. All mapped trees shall be evaluated by a certified arborist consistent with Section 12.12.070 of the Town of Moraga Tree Preservation Ordinance, and a report shall be prepared <u>prepared</u> to minimize short-term construction damage and long-term decline due to changes in root zone.</p>	<p>Applicant’s Biological Consultant, Certified Arborist and Civil Engineer</p>	<p>Adjust limits of grading on the Final Map and Final Grading Plan.</p>	<p>Applicant’s Certified Arborist and Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Confirm adjustments to Tentative Map and Grading Plan.</p>
<p>IV-5B: A construction fence shall be installed around all trees to be protected that will identify the limits of grading and disturbance.</p>	<p>Applicant’s Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p>	<p>Install construction fencing to protect trees to be preserved.</p>	<p>Applicant’s Biological Consultant and Construction Contractor</p>	<p>As specified during construction.</p>

Mitigation Measure	Person/Agency Responsible for Implementation	Monitoring Requirements	Person/Agency Responsible for Monitoring	Timing or Frequency of Monitoring
<p>V-1B: Should evidence of prehistoric cultural resources be discovered during construction, work in the immediate area of the find shall be stopped to allow adequate time for evaluation and mitigation. A qualified professional archaeologist will be called in to make an evaluation of the material; and if significant, develop a mitigation program that includes collection and analysis of the materials, preparation of a report, and curation of the materials at a recognized storage facility under the direction of the Planning Director. Collection and evaluation shall be completed prior to the resumption of grading.</p>	Builder/Contractor	Notify Town of Moraga when cultural resources encountered.	Builder and/or Contractor and/Town of Moraga	During grading/excavation activities.
PUBLIC SERVICES				
<p>XIII-1: The six houses shall be equipped with security alarm systems subject to review and approval of the Town of Moraga Police Department.</p>	Applicant/Builder	<p>Building plans and specifications shall include security systems. Plans to be reviewed and approved by Building Inspection.</p> <p>Conduct on-site inspection.</p>	<p>Town of Moraga</p> <p>Town of Moraga</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permit.</p> <p>Prior to issuance of occupancy permit.</p>
TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC				
<p>XV-1: Both approaches of Hetfield Place shall be stop sign controlled.</p>	Applicant's engineer	Submit improvement plans for review and approval by the Town of Moraga	Town of Moraga	Prior to issuance of grading permit.